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**Abstract**

Throughout the skunks' distribution range, several kinds of conflicts between these animals and human beings have been recorded. In Peru, although these issues seem to be common, records thereof have been merely anecdotal. Here we describe, for the first time, the relationships between people and skunks in a Peruvian area. The study was conducted in five villages of the Chaupihuaranga River basin, in Pasco department. Interviews were made to collect information about people's attitude toward Molina's hog-nosed skunks, the uses given to this animal, hunting methods and number of hunted skunks. Almost all interviewees (97.8%) considered skunks as harmful animals and the majority (87%) admitted hunting them. Skunks are mainly hunted to control the damage they cause to the crop fields, but they are also used as food, in traditional medicine and to make decorative elements. Two hunting modalities were reported: hunting following casual encounters and organized hunting by crop field keepers. The skunk skins are displayed at the homes of the hunting crew leaders, giving prestige to them. Genetic analyses performed on skin samples suggested stability or recent population growth. Both controlling skunk population to limit the damage they cause to the crop fields and using this species in traditional medicine seem to be ancestral activities. Although skunk hunting in the study area seems to be sustainable, we recommend conducting evaluations of skunk populations to verify whether hunting entails a risk factor for their conservation.
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**Introduction.**

Skunks are common species, broadly distributed in the Americas. Throughout the area of distribution of this species, several conflicts between skunks and human populations have been recorded, including the transmission of rabies, destruction of apiaries, predation on bees, barnyard fowls and eggs, and damages to crop fields through their digging while...
looking for insects (Cerato & Andelt, 2002; Dragoo, 2009).

Two skunk species are found in Peru (Pacheco et al., 2009): the Molina’s hog-nosed skunk, Conepatus chinga (Molina, 1782), and the striped hog-nosed skunk, Conepatus semistriatus (Boddart, 1785). The most common in Peru, the Molina’s hog-nosed skunk, is considered locally common and out of danger but with declining populations (Emmons et al., 2016). Its maximum known longevity is 3 years and its generation time has been estimated at 3.6 years (Pacifici et al., 2013). The population density of this species is quite variable and has been estimated between 0.68 and 1.66 individuals/km$^2$ in Argentina (Castillo et al., 2011) and 5 individuals/km$^2$ in Chile (Cofré & Marquet, 1999), but there are no estimates for Peru. The main threats to this species are the habitat loss due to the advance of agriculture, the presence of invasive species and hunting (Emmons et al, 2016).

In the Peruvian Andes, damages caused by skunks to crop fields are a known fact (Deustua et al., 2008; Zeballos & Carrera, 2010), existing records about this problem since the seventeenth century (Guamán-Poma, 1615). Although conflicts between skunks and Andean farmers seem to be common, there are no studies about the relationships between skunks and human beings in Peru, or about traditional methods to control the damage caused by skunks in rural human communities. Nevertheless, information about the relationships between humans and wildlife is crucial for developing any management or conservation plan. Here we describe, for the first time, the conflicts between people and skunks in a group of Peruvian villages, the uses given to these animals and the way villagers organize skunk hunting sessions. In addition, we performed several tests, based on genetic methods, aimed at detecting signals of recent demographic changes in this skunk population.

**Methods.**

**The Study Area.**

The study was conducted in the villages of Tapuc, Rocco, Vilcabamba, Chacayán and San Pedro de Pillao, within the Chaupihuaranga River basin, in the Daniel Carrión Province, Department of Pasco, Peru (Figure 1; Table 1), throughout October 2010 and August 2011. This area was selected due to the previously known fact that some houses are decorated on the outside with Molina’s hog nosed skunk skins, which evidences the hunting of these animals.

**Interviews.**

In order to obtain a description of the relationships between human beings and skunks, semi-structured interviews (Huntington, 2000) were conducted. All interviewees were adults. During the interviews, questions were asked about the attitude of interviewees toward the skunks, hunting reasons, hunting methods and periods, how hunting sessions are organized by villagers and uses given to these animals. Also, we asked whether skunks are currently more or less abundant than in the past. In order to determine the number of hunted animals, skins kipped by the villagers were directly counted, whereas the skins of all the skunks hunted are exposed to the outside of the houses.

**Genetic and demographic analyses.**

Twenty-three skunk skins were sampled in the Tapuc and Rocco villages and were genetically sequenced for three mitochondrial markers: Control Region, Cytb and COI. These DNA sequences were used here to perform demographic tests, which included Fu’s test (Fu, 1997), mismatch distributions (Rogers & Harpending, 1992) and the coalescent-based

**Table 1.** Places visited and interviewees sample make-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>West</th>
<th>Interviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tapuc</td>
<td>10°27'17.8''</td>
<td>76°27'42''</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vilcabamba</td>
<td>10°28'43.4''</td>
<td>76°26'50''</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pedro de Pillao</td>
<td>10°26'24''</td>
<td>76°30'00''</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocco</td>
<td>10°28'48''</td>
<td>76°29'24''</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chacayán</td>
<td>10°23'18.8''</td>
<td>76°16'58.8''</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>94</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bayesian skyline plot analysis (Drummond et al., 2005). Fu's and mismatch distribution tests were performed in ARLEQUIN 3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010), while Bayesian skyline plot was performed with BEAST 1.7.1 (Drummond et al., 2002). For mismatch distributions, a bootstrap resampling of 1,000 replicates was used to assess the fit to a model of sudden demographic expansion. The mismatch distribution test examines the frequency of the nucleotide differences between pairs of individuals in a population. A unimodal distribution is expected for a population that experienced a recent demographic expansion, while multimodal distributions are expected in populations at demographic equilibrium or decline (Rogers & Harpending, 1992). Bayesian skyline plot uses coalescent theory to infer demographic changes through time. For this analysis, chains were run for 10 million generations and sampled every 1,000 generations, and the initial 10% of the trees were discarded as burn-in.

Results.
Perceptions and attitudes towards skunks.
A total of 94 people were interviewed (Table 1). Almost all the interviewees (91 persons, or 96.8%) considered skunks as harmful animals for the following reasons: a) they damage the crop fields as they dig out searching for insects larvae (95.7%), b) they consume the eggs of barnyard fowls as well as guinea pigs (1.1%) and c) they are dangerous animals because their urine can render a person blind (2.1%). Only three persons (3.2%) stated that they do not cause any sort of problem. The great majority of the interviewees (89.4%) declared hunting skunks to limit the damages caused by these animals. No other reasons to hunting skunks were reported.

Uses.
Almost all interviewees (97.4%) stated they use skunks for different purposes. Reported uses were: as food (85.7% of interviewees, Figure 2A), to make

Table 2. Differences in the organization of the authorities taking care of crop fields.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Positions subordinated to the field mayor</th>
<th>Field mayor's gender</th>
<th>Subordinates' gender</th>
<th>Subordinates per field mayor</th>
<th>People per group when surveilling the fields</th>
<th>Positions entitled to exhibiting skunk skins in their homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vilcabamba</td>
<td>Alderman, bailiff</td>
<td>Always male</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapuc</td>
<td>Alderman major, campo minor, bailiff</td>
<td>Always male</td>
<td>Males and females</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocco</td>
<td>Alderman major, field alderman, bailiff</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>Mayor and alderman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillao</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>Male or female</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chacayán</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results.
Perceptions and attitudes towards skunks.
A total of 94 people were interviewed (Table 1). Almost all the interviewees (91 persons, or 96.8%) considered skunks as harmful animals for the following reasons: a) they damage the crop fields as they dig out searching for insects larvae (95.7%), b) they consume the eggs of barnyard fowls as well as guinea pigs (1.1%) and c) they are dangerous animals because their urine can render a person blind (2.1%). Only three persons (3.2%) stated that they do not cause any sort of problem. The great majority of the interviewees (89.4%) declared hunting skunks to limit the damages caused by these animals. No other reasons to hunting skunks were reported.

All the interviewees said that skunks are common in the Chaupihuaranga valley. Nevertheless, 5% of them stated that they are currently less abundant than ten years ago.

Uses.
Almost all interviewees (97.4%) stated they use skunks for different purposes. Reported uses were: as food (85.7% of interviewees, Figure 2A), to make

Figure 2. Meat consumption and ornamental use of skunks in the Chaupihuaranga River basin. The axis of ordinates of each chart shows the percentage rate of the interviewees who claimed to use skunks in a given way.
ornaments (6.5%, Figure 2B) and to prepare medicines (61%, Figure 3). Two ways of preparing the animal for consumption were reported: the animal is stripped of its skin and fried (85.7% of interviewees) or boiled in a soup (9.1%). As a medicine, it is prepared in various ways: a) the skunk’s liver is charred and a powder is obtained, which is then added into a hot infusion such as tea and chamomile to treat bronchial ailments (80.5%), isolation (1.1%) or to cure the susto disease (6.5%) which, according to interviewees, is transmitted by supernatural beings called abuelos (the grandparents); b) the blood is consumed to treat epilepsy (2.6%), susto (3.9%), respiratory problems (1.1%) or toothache (1.1%); c) the fat is spread to fight against bronchial problems (5.2%), to treat lower back pain (6.5%) or to cure the scares (1.1%); d) the meat, consumed as a soup, is used to cure respiratory problems (7.8%); e) the skin is placed against the body to heal back pain, especially in the case of pregnant women (5.2%) and f) the bile is used to cure circulatory problems (1.1%). The use of skunk parts as ornaments is limited to the skin: some people hang them on an external wall of their home, as is done by the leaders of the skunk hunting patrols, which are named field mayors (see the following section).

**Hunting organization and methods.**

We reported two kinds of skunk hunting: a) hunting after a casual encounter with a skunk, carried out by individual hunters, generally people who own a crop field or which works in one of them, and b) organized hunting sessions carried out by a group of hunters in order to take care of the crop fields of a whole village.

More than the half of interviewees (56.3%) said they had hunted skunks after casual encounters. According to 100% of these people, this kind of hunting occurs at all times of the year and they claimed to have done it on their own, without the help of other people. To kill the animal, these hunters always knocked the skunk on the head with a stick or a stone. Sometimes they first threw and hit the skunk with a piece of dry cow dung in order to immobilize it before killing it. 53.8% of interviewees stated they had participated in organized skunk hunting sessions. According to the informants, this kind of hunting is part of the activities of a group of people elected in each village to protect crop fields from any threat. Once a year, from the end of December to January 1st, a field mayor, called “alcalde de campo” or “alcaycampo”, is elected. He, in turn, selects a number of subordinates. The field mayor will lead them in taking care of crop fields. In Tapuc and Vilcabamba villages, two field mayors are elected. Each of them is in charge of selecting their own subordinates and to take care of half of the crop fields of the village. Generally, the field mayor and his subordinates take office on January the first and hold the position for a full year. The number of subordinates and the names of the positions held by each of them vary among the villages visited (Table 2). The lowest ranked position is that of the bailiff, which is held by a boy, between five and nine years of age, whose main tasks are herding domestic animals and preparing the hunted skunks before cooking them. Both the mayors and their top subordinates are also called “campos” or “varayocs” and carry a cane or staff with them while they perform their surveillance role. The staff dignifies them as “varayocs”, above other villagers, and is usually painted in a striking color (Figure 4A). “Varayocs” meet at the home of the field mayor whenever he deems necessary and plan the times and places where they will conduct the surveillance activities that will follow. Outings to take care of crop fields can be carried out at any time of the year, and at any time of the day, and the number of outings will depend on what the field mayor decides. “Varayocs” go out in groups, the size of which may vary among villages (Table 2). The care of the crops by varayocs includes expelling or detaining outsiders, capturing domestic animals that may be harming the crops and chasing away and hunting wild animals that are deemed harmful. Among the latter, interviewees named the Andean fox (Lycalopex culpaeus), parrots (Psittacidae Family) and other birds, opossums (Didelphis marsupialis) and skunks.
According to interviewees, skunks have a special value to “varayocs”, since skunks are nocturnal animals and hunting one proves that they have been working at night. Sometimes, nocturnal outings are carried out with the main goal of hunting skunks, mainly between January and March, and on full-moons, although this may be done at any time of the year. After hunting a skunk, the varayocs go to the field mayor's home, where they eat and drink to celebrate. They rip the hunted skunk off its skin and they hang the skin onto an external wall of the house. The skins are to remain there for years (according to one of the interviewees, the skins displayed at his home had been there for more than a decade) and point to the fact that the home owner has been important for the community (Figure 4A). In the village of Rocco, a mark is made on the long staff of the field mayor for each skunk that has been hunted. No confirmation could be made of whether this happens also in the other villages visited.

Number of hunted skunks.
In the five villages visited, we counted 58 skins of skunks hunted through the year 2009 and 68 skins corresponding to the year 2010 (Table 3). Three facts allow us to assure that the years assigned to the counted skins are correct: a) the varayocs change every year, so the skins displayed at his home had been there for more than a decade) and point to the fact that the home owner has been important for the community (Figure 4A). In the village of Rocco, a mark is made on the long staff of the field mayor for each skunk that has been hunted. No confirmation could be made of whether this happens also in the other villages visited.

Table 3. Number of skunks hunted in each village visited. Numbers corresponds to the skins observed in the facades of houses, which come both from organized hunting and from individual hunting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Hunted skunks</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vilcabamba</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapuc</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocco</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillao</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chacayán</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Examples of skunk control as an agricultural plague in Peru: A.- Field mayor of the village of Taucuc standing in front of the skunk skins hanging from his home's facade and holding a characteristic staff. B.- Depiction of an ararihua or crop field keeper from the Incas Empire, taken from Guamán-Poma (1615).

Genetic and demographic tests.
Fu's test showed a negative $F_s$ value, but was statistically not significant ($F_s=-1.63$; $P=0.19$). Mismatch distribution was unimodal (Figure 5A) and the correspondent goodness of fit statistics was not significant, with low values of the sum of squared deviations (0.01; $P=0.71$) and raggedness index (0.03; $P=0.79$). In consequence, a fitting of the expansion model cannot be rejected.

BSP analysis indicated that the effective population size of Molina’s hog-nosed skunk probably experienced a slight increase, but not drastic current changes (Figure 5B).
Discussion.

In Peru, wildlife hunting for direct consumption is allowed in farming communities such as the villages visited during this study. Given that the skunks hunted in the Chaupihuaranga River basin are consumed by the villagers, their hunting is legal.

Prior to the conquest of the Inca Empire by the Spaniards in the sixteenth century, Andean field keepers were called “ararihuas” or “parianes” and their tasks included controlling skunks and other animals that were considered a plague (Guamán-Poma, 1615) (Figure 4B). The “varayoc” position was created by the Spaniards between 1554 and 1580 to replace the ancient local chieftains called “curacas” or “caciques” (Vásquez, 1964). Nowadays, the organization of the farming community authorities in the Peruvian Andes varies according to the geographic location, and the designation of “varayoc” can be given to a single authority, generally the highest or most influential (e. g. in villages of the Cusco department), or to several authorities performing different roles which might include taking care of crop fields (e. g. in Huánuco and Ayacucho departments) (IIAP, 2006). The “varayocs” of the Chaupihuaranga valley keep similarities to ancient “ararihuas”: in addition to taking care of the fields, “ararihuas” were also elected on a yearly basis (Arriaga, 1621) and among the objects that dignified them was a long staff (Guamán-Poma, 1615; Figure 4B).

In the rural areas of northwestern Argentina, less than 20% of people perceive skunks as harmful, and less than 5% claim to hunt them (Lucherini & Merino, 2008). Given that the most frequent problem caused by skunks seems to be the damage to crop fields, the difference between our results and those found in Argentina can be explained due to the economic activity developed in each site: in northwestern Argentina the main economic activity is livestock farming, while agriculture is the most important activity in the Chaupihuaranga valley.

The use of the skunk's roasted liver to fight against respiratory ailments has been reported in other locations, such as Ayacucho and Arequipa in Peru (Valdizán & Maldonado, 1922), southwestern Bolivia and northwestern Argentina (Barbarán, 2004; Hernandez et al., 2015). Interestingly, all these places are currently inhabited by the Quechua ethnic group or have had a strong Quechua influence in the past, and no records of this custom were made in areas mainly occupied by the Aymara ethnic group, like central-western Bolivia and Puno, in Peru. This strongly suggests that the medicinal use of skunk liver has a Quechua origin. The use of fat to treat various pains is also much extended in the Andes. However, this is not limited to skunk's fat, but it also includes other carnivore species such as the puma, Puma concolor, the spectacled bear Tremarctos ornatus and the Andean fox Lycalopex culpaeus (Barbarán, 2004; Morales, 2010; Valdizán & Maldonado, 1922; Zeballos & Carrera, 2010). Consumption of Conepatus skunk meat as food has also been reported for the district of Ambo, in Ayacucho, Central Peru, although it is a less common practice there (37.6% of interviewees) (Zeballos & Carrera, 2010), and for Mexico (García-Flores et al., 2014) and Brazil (Luna de Oliveira et al., 2017). The use of skunk parts has been recorded in other places in Latin America to treat stomach ache, cryptorchidism, skin problems, anaemia, articular pain, nervous and circulatory system conditions, between other problems (Alves & Alves, 2011; Enríquez et al., 2006; García-Flores et al., 2014; Alves et al., 2016).

Several studies, carried out in Argentina and Chile, estimated the Molina's hog-nosed skunk population density between 0.68 and 5 individuals/km² (Emmons et al., 2016). Taking into account these estimations, the number of skunks hunted in the Chaupihuaranga valley would equal the population of 11.6 – 100 km² per year. Although the population density in Peru could be very different, these data suggest that hunting could be a major threat to skunks and might indicate the need of creating a management plan for these animals. This idea is supported by the fact that some inhabitants of the study area said that skunks are less abundant than in the past. However, other data suggests that this perception might not be correct: a) the number of hunted skunks seems to be high, even when this is a traditional, very ancient activity, and skunk hunting take place only within crop fields, and b) demographic tests based on DNA sequences suggested stability or recent population growth. Given these contradictory data, a population assessment would be useful to determine if traditional hunting in the Chaupihuaranga valley is sustainable.

Conclusions.

In the Chaupihuaranga valley, the damage caused by skunks in crop fields is the main source of conflict between skunks and human beings, despite the fact that the control of insect populations by these animals can be beneficial for farmers when it is done outside of these lands. Other issues, such as egg consumption and the fear of skunks due to their urine may be considered unimportant for the conservation of skunks and for the wellbeing of human population.

Controlling the damage caused by skunks in the crop fields in Peru is part of an ancient activity that maintains some of its elements intact at least since the sixteenth century, such as appointing authorities on a yearly basis in order to take care of sown fields and the use of a long staff to dignify said authorities. Other elements, such as the number and the name of the keepers varies geographically, even among nearby villages. In the Chaupihuaranga valley, the exposure of hunted skunk skins plays an important role in giving prestige to the field keepers, which encourages hunting.
The use of skunks in traditional medicine is common in Latin America, although the ailments treated, the skunk parts used as medicine and the treatments vary on a geographical basis. The treatment of respiratory conditions with roasted, ground skunk liver and the use of fat to ease various pains seem to be ancestral, since these customs are present in a significantly broad area of the Andean region: at least from Central Peru to the northwest of Argentina, in communities distributed throughout more than 1,700 km.

Although skunk populations do not seem to be at risk in the Chaupihuaranga valley, it is advisable to confirm this with population evaluations. Skunks are hunted because they are deemed harmful animals, but they are very appreciated as food and for their use in traditional medicine. Therefore, their conservation must be of interest for the rural population of the area studied. Should skunk populations be found which need protection, both conflicts and the use of this animal should be taken into account in order to create the corresponding management plans.
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