LYMPHOCYTE/MONOCYTE INDEX AS A PROGNOSIS FACTOR IN DIFFUSE LARGE B-CELLS LYMPHOMA, HOSPITAL EDGARDO REBAGLIATI MARTINS 2010-2017

ÍNDICE LINFOCITO/MONOCITO COMO FACTOR PRONÓSTICO EN LINFOMA CÉLULAS GRANDES B DIFUSO EN UN HOSPITAL NACIONAL DE LIMA-PERÚ, PERÍODO 2010-2017

Brady E. Beltran^{1,a}, Willy Ramos², Jhony A. De La Cruz-Vargas^{3,b}

ABSTRACT

Objetive: The lymphocyte/monocyte index (ILM) has been described as an inflammatory prognostic parameter in many hematological diseases and solid tumors. The present study aimed to evaluate the prognostic value of the lymphocyte/monocyte index in the survival of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). **Methods:** The present is an analytical, longitudinal and non-experimental study. Patients diagnosed with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the Edgardo Rebagliati Martins hospital from 2010 to 2017 were selected. **Results:** 1121 patients were included, 57% female, 66.1% older than 60 years. Regarding the Performance Status Zubrod, 66.5% corresponded to the degree of best forecast 0 and 1; 59.5% presented associated B symptoms. Nearly 60% were stages I and II, and 57% presented extranodal involvement. We show that the ILM is significant for overall survival in the bivariate Cox regression analysis, not reaching significance in the multivariate. **Conclusion:** ILM is a potentially accessible and straightforward prognostic biomarker for our population with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.

Key words: Lymphocytes; Forecast; Non-Hodgkin lymphoma. (source: MeSH NLM)

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Evaluar el valor pronostico del índice linfocito monocito (ILM) en la sobrevida global de los pacientes con Linfoma de células grandes B difuso (LCGBD) del Hospital Edgardo Rebagliati Martins. **Métodos:** Estudio longitudinal retrospectivo. Se incluyó a los casos de LCGBD diagnosticados en el Hospital Nacional Edgardo Rebagliati Martins durante el período 2010-2017. No se realizó muestreo, se trabajó con la totalidad de la población que cumplió con los criterios de selección por ser esta pequeña y accesible. Se revisó las historias clínicas de los pacientes obteniéndose información del tiempo en meses de supervivencia, del ILM así como de variables sociodemográficas, clínicas y de laboratorio. **Resultados:** Se incluyó en el análisis a 121 pacientes con LCGBD; de ellos, el 57% eran de sexo femenino y 66.1% eran mayores de 60 años. De acuerdo al Status Zubrod, el 66,5% correspondieron al grado de mejor pronóstico y el 59.5% presento sintomas B asociados. Cerca del 60% fueron diagnosticados en estadios I y II y el 57% presento compromiso extraganglionar. El análisis bivariado con el modelo de riesgos proporcionales de Cox mostró que el ILM<2 constituyó un predictor de la supervivencia global del LCGBD (p=0,011) estimándose un HR=2.2 (IC 95%: 1.2-4.1). El ILM<2,7 no constituyó predictor de la supervivencia global. **Conclusión:** El ILM podría utilizarse como un índice pronóstico debido a que constituye un predictor de la supervivencia

Palabras clave: Linfoma de Células B Grandes Difuso; Pronóstico; Supervivencia. (fuente: DeCS BIREME)

Journal home page: http://revistas.urp.edu.pe/index.php/RFMH

©The authors. This article is published by the Journal of the Faculty of Human Medicine, Ricardo Palma University. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International(CC BY 4.0). (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), that allows non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is duly cited. For commercial use, please contact revista. medicina@urp.pe

¹Edgardo Rebagliati Martins National Hospital, Lima, Peru.

²Institute of Clinical Research, National University of San Marcos, Lima-Peru.

³Research Institute in Biomedical Sciences, Ricardo Palma University, Lima-Peru.

^a Lymphoma Unit Medical Oncology Service.

^bDoctor of Medicine.

Quote as: Brady E. Beltran, Willy Muñoz, Jhony A. De La Cruz-Vargas. Lymphocyte/monocyte index as a prognosis factor in diffuse large B-cells lymphoma, hospital Edgardo Rebagliati Martins 2010-2017. [Original Article].2019;19(2):40-47. (April 2019). DOI 10.25176/RFMH.v19.n2.2068

INTRODUCTION

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most frequent non-Hodgkin lymphoma subtype in the world¹. It reaches up to 66% of all lymphomas in our environment². It is an entity that can be cured even with advanced disease but one-third of patients will relapse³. The interaction of malignant cells and the tumor microenvironment composed of inflammatory cells has implications for the response and survival of patients⁴.

The most important prognostic model for this disease is the International Prognostic Index (IPI) that allows identifying according to 5 clinical variables (age, stage, lactic dehydrogenase, extranodal sites, and ECOG), three risk categories: low, intermediate and high⁵. This model still has imperfections. NCCN-IPI is a new prognostic model for DLBCL that prioritizes the prognostic value of lactic dehydrogenase and age5. The current concept of cancer defines it as a disease of genes, but with common inflammatory mechanisms as a backdrop, the persistent inflammation and the immune dysregulation that they produce activate oncogenic mechanisms^{6,7}. Inflammatory markers can contribute to determining the prognosis in cancer. One of these inflammatory markers is the lymphocyte/ monocyte index. Previous studies show that the systemic response is protumoral⁸. Lymphopenia reflects a specific depressed immune activity. Also, lymphopenia is correlated with poor prognosis in other hematological diseases such as Hodgkin lymphoma, multiple myeloma, acute leukemia, and peripheral T-lymphoma^{9,10}. Monocytosis is a subset of systemic and microenvironmental immunosuppression, which has been identified in DLBCL⁸.

There are multiple prognostic indicators for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), including the international prognostic index (IPI) and the gene expression profile (GEP) to classify the disease with a germinal center and activated B cell subtypes, this last houses a lower forecast. More recently, it was found that macrophages associated with the tumor (MAT) and the proportion of lymphocytes to monocytes (ILM) have prognostic implications in the DLBCL. However, a consensus has not yet been reached in terms of the importance of each one¹¹.

In the present study, we evaluated the prognostic value of the lymphocyte/monocyte index in patients with DLBCL.

METHODS

Retrospective longitudinal study. The cases of LCGBD diagnosed at the Edgardo Rebagliati Martins National

Hospital during the 2010-2017 period were included in the study; cases with incomplete medical histories were excluded. No sampling was done, we worked with the entire population that met the selection criteria because it is small and accessible.

The patients' medical records were reviewed, obtaining information on the time in months of survival, ILM, as well as sociodemographic, clinical and laboratory variables:

Sociodemographic variables: Age, sex.

Clinical variables: Presence of B symptoms, clinical stage, extranodal involvement, ECOG scale.

Laboratory variables: Leukocyte count, lymphocytes, neutrophils, monocytes and platelets. Concentrations of hemoglobin, albumin, lactic dehydrogenase (DHL), beta-2 microglobulin, international prognostic index (IPI) and NCCN IPI.

The information obtained was recorded in a data collection instrument and was transformed into a database, then it was evaluated whether the lymphocyte / monocyte index was a predictor of the survival of patients with LCGBD.

For the statistical analysis, the SPSS program version 23 for Windows was used. Univariate statistics were performed based on obtaining frequencies, measured percentages of central tendency and dispersion. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan Meier technique. For the bivariate analysis of global survival, the Cox proportional hazards model was used, estimating the hazard ratio (HR) and confidence intervals. The calculations were made with a confidence level of 95%.

Regarding the ethical aspects, the information obtained was confidential, which has only been used for the purposes of this study.

RESULTS

121 patients were included, 57% female, 66.1% older than 60 years. Regarding status performance, 66.5% corresponded to 0/1; 59.5% presented associated B symptoms. Nearly 60% were stages I and II, and 57% presented extranodal involvement.

The median 5-year global survival of the population studied was 76% CI (33-118).

Table 1. Characteristics of patients.

VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	%
Age		
From 60 to more years	41	33,9
Under 60 years	80	66,1
Sex		
Female	67	55,4
Male	54	44,6
Zubrod		
0-1	78	64,5
2-4	41	33,9
Not registered	2	1,6
Symptoms B		
Yes	72	59,5
No	49	40,5
Clinical stage		
1-11	71	58,7
III-IV	49	40,5
Not registered	1	0,8
Extranodal compromise		
Yes	69	57,0
No	52	43,0

Laboratory parameters showed that patients frequently had anemia (45.5%), serum albumin levels below 4 g / dL (23.1%), elevated serum levels of DHL,

low lymphocyte count, platelet count. in the upper or higher limit, among other anomalies (See table 2).

	SIG.	HR	IC 95% DE HR	
			IC-HIGHER	IC-LOWER
ECOG (>2-4)	0.001	2.9	1.6	5.4
EC (III-IV)	0.002	2.7	1.4	5.0
Hb (<12)	0.103	1.7	0.9	3.2
Albumin (<4)	0.028	2.9	1.1	7.3
Leukocytes (>10000)	0.362	1.4	0.7	3.0
Lymphocytes (>1000)	0.370	0.7	0.4	1.4
Neutrophils (>7500)	0.111	1.8	0.9	3.5
Platelets (>400000)	0.905	1.0	0.5	1.9
DHL (>480)	0.007	2.6	1.3	5.1
IPI (IA-AR)	0.001	3.0	1.6	5.6
NCCN IPI (IA-AR)	0.001	3.5	1.7	7.1
ILM (<2.7)	0.367	1.3	0.7	2.6
ILM (<2)	0.011	2.2	1.2	4.1
ILM (<1.7)	0.009	2.2	1.2	4.1

Table 2. Values of laboratory parameters.

VARIABLES	N°	%
Patients	121	
Hemoglobin (g/L)		
Medium (range)	11.7 (6.8 - 16.1)	
<12	66	54,5
>12	55	45,5
Albumin (g/dL)		
Medium (range)	3.6 (1.4 - 5.0)	
<4.0	83	68,6
>4.0	28	23,1
Not registered	10	8,3
DHL		
Medium (range)	558 (233 - 4268)	
<480	50	41,3
>480	70	57,9
Not registered	1	0,8
Beta-2 microglobulina		
Medium (range)	2.3 (1.0 - 8.0)	
<1.3	8	6,6
>1.3	68	56,2
Not registered	45	37,2
Leukocytes (cells by mm ³)		
Medium (range)	7780 (2580 - 25270)	
<4500	12	9,9
4500-10000	86	71,1
>10000	23	19,0
Leukocytes (cells by mm³)		
Medium (range)	1380 (250 - 10360)	
<1000	35	28,9
1000-4000	85	70,2
>4000	1	0,8
Neutrophils (cells for mm ³)		
Medium (range)	5070 (1750 - 17320)	
<2500	6	5,0
2500-7500	91	75,2
>7500	24	19,8
Monocytes (cells for mm ³)		
Medium (range)	605 (160 - 2020)	
<100	-	-
100-800	81	67,0
>800	39	32,2
Not registered	1	0,8
Platelets (units for mm ³)		
Medium (range)	323500 (82000 - 784000)	
<150,000	5	4,1
150,000 - 400,000	85	70,3
>400,000	30	24,8
Not registered	1	0,8

The bivariate analysis with the Cox proportional hazards model showed that the monocyte lymphocyte index (less than 2 and less than 1.7) was a predictor of the overall survival of the LCGBD. Other variables such

as ECOG (> 2-4), EC (III-IV), Albumin (<4), DHL (> 480), IPI (IA-AR) and NCCN IPI (IA-AR) were also predictors of global survival of the LCGBD.



Graphic 1. Monocyte lymphocyte index and overall survival of patients with LCGBD.

DISCUSSION

In recent years, several biomarkers have been identified to detect patients with LCGBD of poor prognosis, including gene expression profile¹¹, mutational analysis¹², immunohistochemistry-based detection^{13,14} and interim evaluation with positron emission tomography^{15,16} The most important prognostic model for this disease is the IPI that allows identifying, according to 5 clinical variables (age, stage, lactic dehydrogenase, extranodal sites and ECOG), three risk categories: low, intermediate and high^{17,18}.

Since the introduction of rituximab, the IPI has been revised (R-IPI) to better reflect the survival associated with immunochemotherapy regimens. In contrast to the IPI, NCCN IPI identifies three different prognostic groups: very good, good and poor¹⁸; whereas, Zhou introduces the NCCN IPI that prioritizes the prognostic value of lactic dehydrogenase and age¹⁹. The advantage of the NCCN-IPI over the IPI is the ability to discriminate the subgroups of low and high risk. Despite this, a large group of patients with unfavorable factors are not adequately characterized.

Inflammatory markers such as ILM may constitute prognostic factors in LCGBD²⁰, and there is research that shows that ILM correlates with overall survival as well as with the progression-free survival of patients^{1,10}. ILM depends on lymphopenia and / or monocytosis, observing that lymphopenia correlates with poor prognosis in other hematological diseases such as Hodgkin lymphoma, multiple myeloma, acute leukemia and peripheral T lymphoma^{9,21-23}; On the other hand, monocytosis is an indicator of systemic immunosuppression, which has been identified in LCGBD⁸. Recent reports identify the ratio between absolute neutrophil counts and absolute lymphocyte counts (INL) as predictors of survival in cancer patients²⁴.

The present investigation found that the ILM is a predictor of the survival of patients with LCGBD, so it would constitute a potential index of prognosis that presents the advantages of a low cost and easy obtaining. This coincides with that reported by Romano et al²⁵ who retrospectively evaluated INL and ILM in patients with a recent diagnosis of Hodgkin lymphoma PET-2, finding that after a follow-up of 68 months, ILM

was one of the independent predictors of progressionfree survival using in the early stage. The results obtained are also similar to that reported by Matsuki et al11 and Wang et al4 who conclude that ILM <2.7 could be used as a biomarker of poor prognosis, easy to implement and widely available, particularly for patients with treated LCGBD. with rituximab. This is confirmed by a meta-analysis conducted by Sun et al. which shows that an ILM <3 is a predictor of overall survival (HR = 1.79) and disease-free survival (HR = 2.21).

The main limitation found in the present study was the small number of cases participating in the analysis of overall survival and the bivariate analysis with the proportional hazards model of Cox, which prevented multivariate analysis. It is necessary to continue this line of research for an adequate and practical characterization of lymphomas and to be able to design more precise prognostic and therapeutic strategies.

Correspondence: Brady E. Beltrán Address: Av. Edgardo Rebagliati 490, Jesús María 15072, Lima-Perú Phone: +51 999539061 E-mail: bgbrady@hotmail.com

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

1. Ho CL, Lu CS, Chen JH, Chen YG, Huang TC, Wu YY. Neutrophil/ lymphocyte ratio, lymphocyte/monocyte ratio, and absolute lymphocyte count/absolute monocyte count prognostic score in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: useful prognostic tools in the rituximab era. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e993.

2. Jelicic J, Todorovic Balint M, Sretenovic DA, Balint B, Perunicic Jovanovic M, Andjelic B, et al. Enhanced International Prognostic Index (NCCN-IPI), Charlson Comorbidity Index and absolute lymphocyte count as predictors for survival of elderly patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma treated by immunochemotherapy. Neoplasma 2015;62, 988-95.

3. Belotti A, Doni E, Bolis S, Rossini F, Casaroli I, Pezzatti S, et al. Peripheral blood lymphocyte/monocyte ratio predicts outcome in follicular lymphoma and in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients in the rituximab era. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 2015;15:208-13.

4. Wang J, Gao K, Lei W, Dong L, Xuan Q, Feng M, et al. Lymphocyteto-monocyte ratio is associated with prognosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: correlation with CD163 positive M2 type tumor-associated macrophages, not PD-1 positive tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Oncotarget. 2017;8(3):5414-25.

5. Prochazka V, Pytlik R, Janikova A, Belada D, Salek D, Papajik T, et al. A new prognostic score for elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP: the prognostic role of blood monocyte and lymphocyte counts is absent. PLoS One 2014;9:e102594.

6. Baud V, Karin M. Is NFkB a good target for cancer therapy? Hopes and pitfalls. Nat Rev Drug Discov [Internet]. 2009; 8(1). Disponible en: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2729321/.

7. Siu LL, Chan JK, Kwong YL. Natural killer cell malignances: clinicopathologic and molecular features. Histol Histopathol. 2002;17:554.

CONCLUSION

The ILM could be used as a prognostic index because it is a predictor of the overall survival of patients with LCGBD of the Edgardo Rebagliati Martins National Hospital.

Authorship contributions: The authors participated in the genesis of the idea, project design, data collection and interpretation, analysis of results, preparation of the manuscript, present research work.

Financing: Self-financed.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest in the publication of this article.

Received: December 26, 2018

Approved: February 19, 2019

8. Wilcox RA, Ristow K, Habermann TM, Inwards DJ, Micallef IN, Johnston PB, et al. The absolute monocyte and lymphocyte prognostic score predicts survival and identifies high-risk patients in diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. Leukemia. 2011;25(9):1502–9.

9. Kim DH, Baek JH, Chae YS, Kim YK, Kim HJ, Park YH. Absolute lymphocyte counts predicts response to chemotherapy and survival in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Leukemia 2007;21:2227–30.

10. Beltran BE, Aguilar C, Quiñones P, Morales D, Chavez JC, Sotomayor EM, et al. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is an independent prognostic factor in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma, unspecified. Leuk Lymphoma. 2016;57(1):58-62.

11. Matsuki E, Bohn OL, El Jamal S, Pichardo JD, Zelenetz AD, Younes A, et al. Lymphocyte-to-Monocyte Ratio May Serve as a Better Prognostic Indicator Than Tumor-associated Macrophages in DLBCL Treated With Rituximab. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol. 2018 [En prensa]. Doi: 10.1097/PAI.00000000000645.

12. Rosenwald A, Wright G, Chan WC, Connors JM, Campo E, Fisher Ri, et al. The use of molecular profiling to predict survival after chemotherapy for diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346:1937-47

13. Nakaya A, Fujita S, Satake A, Nakanishi T, Azuma Y, Psobokura Y, et al. Enhanced international prognostic index in Japanese patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Leuk Res Rep. 2016;29;6:24-6.

14. Nyman H, Adde M, Karjalainen-Lindsberg ML, et al. Prognostic impact of immunohistochemically defined germinal center phenotype in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients treated with immunochemotherapy. Blood. 2007;109:4930-5.

15. Tzankov A, Meier C, Hirschmann P. Correlation of high numbers of intratumoral FOXP3b regulatory T cells with improved survival in germinal center-like diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma

and classical Hodgkin's lymphoma. Haematologica. 2008;93:193–200.

16. Mikhaeel NG. Interim fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography for early response assessment in diffuse large B cell lymphoma: where are we now? Leuk Lymphoma. 2009;50:1931-6.

17. Duhrsen U, Huttmann A, Jockel KH. Positron emission tomography guided therapy of aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphomas Fthe PETAL trial. Leuk Lymphoma. 2009;50:1757-60.

18. A predictive model for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The International Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Prognostic Factors Project. N Engl J Med 1993;329(14):987-94.

19. Huang CE, Chen YY, Lu CH, Chen PT, Lee KD, Chen CC. Validation of an enhanced International Prognostic Index (NCCN-IPI) in an Asian cohort of patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Ann Hemathol 2015;94(6):1063-5.

20. Zhou Z, Sehn LH, Rademaker AW, Gordon LI, Lacasce AS, Crosby-Thompson A, Vanderplas A et al. An enhanced International Prognostic Index (NCCN-IPI) for patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated in the rituximab era. Blood 2014;123(6):837-42.

21. Pichler M, Hutterer GC, Stojakovic T, Mannweiler S, Pummer K, Zigeuner R. High plasma fibrinogen level represents an independent

negative prognostic factor regarding cancer-specific, metastasis-free, as well as overall survival in a European cohort of non-metastatic renal cell carcinoma patients. Br J Cancer 2013;109(5):1123–9.

22. Oki Y, Yamamoto K, Kato H, Kuwatsuka Y, Taji H, Kagami Y, et al. Low absolute lymphocyte count is a poor prognostic marker in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and suggests patients' survival benefit from rituximab. Eur J Haematol 2008;81:448–53.

23. De Angulo G, Yuen C, Palla SL, Anderson PM, Zweidler-McKay PA. Absolute lymphocyte count is a novel prognostic indicator in ALL and AML: implications for risk stratification and future studies. Cancer 2008;112:407–15.

24. Beltran BE, Castro D, De La Cruz-Vargas JA, Cotrina E, Gallo A, Sotomayor EM, et al. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is prognostic in patients with early stage aggressive peripheral T cell lymphoma. Br J Haematol 2019;184(4):650-3.

25. Romano A, Parrinello NL, Vetro C, Chiarenza A, Cerchiore C, Ippolito M, et al. Prognostic meaning of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and lymphocyte to monocyte ration (LMR) in newly diagnosed Hodgkin lymphoma patients treated upfront with a PET-2 based strategy. Ann Hematol 2018;97(6):1009-18.



http://revistas.urp.edu.pe/index.php/RFMH

(h)

