EFFECTIVENESS OF VACCINATION AGAINST HUMAN PAPILOMA VIRUS

EFICACIA DE LA VACUNACIÓN CONTRA EL VIRUS DE PAPILOMA HUMANO

Noemí Rojas-Cisneros^{1,a}

Mr. Editor

The human papillomavirus (HPV) has a high prevalence in young women, which is why it is considered a public health problem. As their main form of transmission is sexual relations, it is estimated that around 80% of individuals will have contact with this virus in the course of their lives¹.

According to reports from the World Health Organization (WHO), the human papillomavirus (HPV) associated with cervical cancer, ranks fourth among the most common types of cancer that affect women, with an estimated number of 570,000 deaths in 2018².

In addition, WHO includes the HPV vaccine as part of national vaccination programs based on the following considerations: It constitutes a public health priority; the introduction of these vaccines is feasible from a programmatic point of view; sustainable financing can be guaranteed; It has cost-effectiveness as a vaccination strategy; and focuses on teenage girls before the start of active sex life; taking into account the aforementioned, it should be considered that the target population will primarily be made up of girls between the ages of 9 or 10 up to 13 years old ^{2,3}.

Two HPV vaccines are currently marketed in several countries, a bivalent and a tetravalent vaccine. Both vaccines have been able to prove to be highly effective in preventing infection with types 16 and 18 of the virus, causing around 70% of cervical cancers².

The bivalent vaccine also acts on variable fractions of cancers of the vulva, vagina, penis, anus and oropharynx associated with HPV 7 and 8, whose efficacy is 68.9-100%; The tetravalent vaccine, in addition to acting on HPV types 16 and 18, has been shown to act on HPV types 6 and 11, responsible for about 90% of external genital warts, being effective at 75-100%. Recently a nonavalent vaccine was approved, which in addition to the 4 types of HPV included in the tetravalent vaccine, has action on HPV types 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58, responsible for an additional 20% of cases of cervical cancer. Table 1 shows the efficacy of the vaccine forHPV, in a series of trials⁴.

In short, prevention includes health education, which is defined as: the exchange of information with the purpose of increasing awareness and knowledge about how to stay healthy and prevent diseases, having available information on the resources that are available and the benefits of accessing health services, is fundamental in the reality of countries like ours 5.

Finally, the importance of the efficacy of vaccination as a prophylactic measure against infection with the Human Papillomavirus should be taken into high consideration, since it has shown favorable results to date.

Journal home page: http://revistas.urp.edu.pe/index.php/RFMH

Article published by the Journal of the Faculty of Human Medicine of the Ricardo Palma University. It is an open access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is duly cited. For commercial use, please contact magazine.medicina@urp.pe

¹ Faculty of Human Medicine, Ricardo Palma University, Lima-Peru. ^a Medical student.

Cite as: Noemí Rojas-Cisneros. Effectiveness of vaccination against human papiloma virus. Rev. Fac. Med. Hum. Octubre 2019; 19(4):135-137. DOI 10.25176/RFMH.v19i4.2347

CARTAS AL EDITOR

Table 1. Summary of the main results of HPV vaccine trials.

Population studied	Outcome	Tetrava-lent Vac-cine	Bivalent Vaccine	Nonava-lent Vac-cine
Young women (16-26 years old)	Efficacy against infection		\checkmark	
	Effectiveness vs. CIN2 +		\checkmark	\checkmark
	Efficacy against CiN3	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Effectiveness vs. VIN / VaIN 2/3	\checkmark	\checkmark	?
	Efficacy against genital warts	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark
	Efficacy against anal infection	?	\checkmark	?
	Efficacy against oral infection	?	\checkmark	?
	Partial cross protection against infection	\checkmark	\checkmark	?
	Cross-protection partially against CIN2 + Therapeutic efficacy	\checkmark	\checkmark	?
	Therapeutic efficacy	x	x	х
	Security	+++	+++	+++
Adult women (25-45 years old)	Efficacy against infection	\checkmark	\checkmark	?
	Efficacy against CiN2 +	\checkmark	?	?
	Immunogenicity	\checkmark	\checkmark	?
	Partial cross protection against infection	?	\checkmark	?
	Security	+++	+++	?
Young men (16-26 years old)	Efficacy against infection	\checkmark	?	?
	Efficacy against genital warts	\checkmark	NA	?
	Efficacy against anal infection	\checkmark	?	?
	Effectiveness vs. AIN2 +	\checkmark	?	?
	Security	+++	+++	?
Pre-adolescentes (10-14 años)	Inmunogenicidad	\checkmark	?	?
	Seguridad	+++	+++	?

 $\sqrt{2}$: demonstrated; not demonstrated; +++: very high; x: none; NA: Does not apply because it was not objective. AlN: anal intraepithelial neoplasia; ClN: cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; ValN: vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia; VlN: vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia; HPV: human papillomavirus.

Taken from: Bruni L, Serrano B, Bosch X, Castellsagué X. Vaccine against human papillomavirus. Efficiency and security.

Authorship Contributions: The author performed the generation, collection of information, writing and final version of the original article.

Financing: Self-financed

Correspondence: Noemí Rojas-Cisneros Address: Av. Alfredo Benavides 5440, Santiago de Surco, Lima, Perú. Telephone: 987400670 E-mail: mi_rocis@hotmail.com

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

1. Medina-Fernández IA, Gallegos Torres RM, Cervera-Baas ME, Cob-Tejeda RA. Conocimiento del virus del papiloma humano y su vacuna por parte de mujeres de una zona rural en Querétaro, México (Costa Rica). REVENF. 2017; (32). [Acceso: 20 de agosto del 2019]. Disponible en: https://www.scielo. sa.cr/pdf/enfermeria/n32/1409-4568-enfermeria-32-00026.pdf

2. Organización Mundial de la Salud (OMS). Virus del Papiloma Humano (VPH) y cáncer cervicouterino [Acceso: 20 de agosto del 2019]. Disponible en: https://www.who.int/immunization/diseases/hpv/es/

3. Salome-Pérez ML, Echevarría Ballesteros A. Conocimiento en adolescentes y aceptabilidad en padres de familia por la vacuna del virus del Papiloma Humano en la Microred de Chilca. (Perú). 2018. [Acceso: 20 de agosto

Interest conflict: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Received: September 02, 2019 Approved: September 16, 2019

del 2019]. Disponible en: http://repositorio.uroosevelt.edu.pe/xmlui/ bitstream/handle/ROOSEVELT/129/TESIS%20PARAQUEMAR%20EN%20 CD.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

4. Bruni L, Serrano B, Bosch X, Castellsagué X. Vacuna frente al virus del papiloma humano. Eficacia y seguridad. (España). Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2015; 33(5):342-354. [Acceso: 20 de agosto del 2019]. Disponible en: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2015.03.018

5. Ochoa-Carrillo FJ, Guarneros de Regil DB, Velasco-Jiménez MT. Infección por virus del papiloma humano en mujeres y su prevención. (España). GacMexOncol. 2015; 14(3):157-163. [Acceso: 20 de agosto del 2019]. Disponible en: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gamo.2015.08.002

