MATERNAL FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH FETAL MACROSOMIA ACCORDING TO THE NATIONAL SURVEY OF DEMOGRAPHY AND FAMILY HEALTH IN 2020

FACTORES MATERNOS ASOCIADOS A MACROSOMÍA FETAL SEGÚN LA ENCUESTA NACIONAL DE DEMOGRAFÍA Y SALUD FAMILIAR DE 2020

Angela Ruiz-Canchucaja^{1,a}, Luis Cano-Cardenas^{2,b,c}

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Fetal macrosomia is a pregnancy condition that generates short, medium and long-term complications for the fetus, newborn and mother. **Objectives:** To determine the maternal factors associated with fetal macrosomia according to the 2020 National Demographic and Family Health. Methods: Observational, retrospective, analytical, cross-sectional study based on the ENDES survey in 2020 using data from 5096 women aged 12-49 who met the established criteria. For the statistical analysis, SPSS version 25 was used, the corrected F test for complex samples, and a significance level of less than 0.05. **Results:** The prevalence of fetal macrosomia is 7.5%. The multivariate analysis found that, within the personal factors, maternal age has a significant association with fetal macrosomia (RPa 1.04, 95% CI 1.008 – 1.078). Of the pathological factors, maternal obesity has a significant associated with fetal macrosomia, none of the variables described show a significant association. **Conclusion:** It was determined that maternal age over 34 and maternal obesity are associated with a higher prevalence of fetal macrosomia.

Keywords: Fetal macrosomia; Risk factor; Maternal age; Maternal obesity (Source: MeSH NLM)

RESUMEN

Introducción: La macrosomía fetal es una condición del embarazo que genera complicaciones a corto, mediano y largo plazo para el feto, el recién nacido y la madre. **Objetivos**: Determinar los factores maternos asociados a macrosomía fetal según la encuesta nacional de demografía y salud familiar de 2020. **Métodos**: Estudio observacional, retrospectivo, analítico, transversal que se realizó basado en la encuesta ENDES en el período 2020, utilizando los datos de 5096 mujeres de 12-49 años que cumplieron con los criterios establecidos. Para el análisis estadístico se empleó el SPSS versión 25, la prueba F corregida para muestras complejas y un nivel de significancia menor de 0,05. **Resultados:** La prevalencia de macrosomía fetal es de 7.5%. El análisis multivariado encontró que, dentro de los factores personales, la edad materna tiene asociación significativa con la macrosomía fetal (RPa 1.04, IC 95% 1.008 – 1.078). De los factores patológicos, la obesidad materna tiene asociación significativa con la macrosomía fetal, ninguna variable descrita muestra asociación significativa. **Conclusión:** Se determinó que la edad materna mayor de 34 años y la obesidad materna son factores asociados a presentar mayor prevalencia de macrosomía fetal.

Palabras clave: Macrosomía fetal; Factor de riesgo; Edad materna; Obesidad materna. (Fuente: DeCS BIREME)

^b Medical Surgeon Specialist in Internal Medicine

Cite as: Ruiz Canchucaja A, Cano Cardenas L. Maternal factors associated with fetal macrosomia according to the national survey of demography and family health in 2020. Rev Fac Med Hum. 2022;22(3):489-496. doi: 10.25176/RFMH.v22i3.4795

Journal home page: http://revistas.urp.edu.pe/index.php/RFMH

Article published by the Magazine of the Faculty of Human Medicine of the Ricardo Palma University. It is an open access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), that allows non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is duly cited. For commercial use, please contact revista.medicina@urp.pe

¹ Ricardo Palma University, Lima-Peru

^a Medical Student

^c Master in Public and Community Health

INTRODUCTION

Fetal macrosomia is a term used to describe a newborn with a weight equal to or greater than 4000 grams in Latin America. This condition is one of the main complications of pregnancy. The prevalence varies in different countries, approximately 10%, but the latest analyzes describe an increasing trend, reaching 20% in developed countries⁽¹⁾. The World Health Organization (WHO) mentions that fetal macrosomia causes immediate morbidity and mortality, generating complications such as hypoglycemia, infection, birth trauma, respiratory distress, death, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit⁽²⁾ likewise increased risk of cesarean section⁽¹⁾.

There are associated factors that are already recognized, such as prolonged pregnancy, history of a macrosomic fetus, and male fetal sex ⁽³⁾. Others more studied, such as, for example, gestational diabetes, which due to hyperglycemia peaks, generates a release of growth factors similar to insulin, which would condition a larger fetus ⁽⁴⁾. Therefore, it is important to establish what factors could condition the presence of this complication to avoid the associated morbidity and mortality. The objective of this research is to determine the maternal factors associated with fetal macrosomia according to the national demographic and family health survey (ENDES) of the National Institute of Statistics and Informatics (INEI) in 2020, for which

the research will be useful to reduce the multidisciplinary way the factors that contribute to macrosomia, from the obstetric, nutritional, behavioral part, which will lead to improvements in maternal and neonatal health.

METHODS Design and study area

This study is observational, cross-sectional, retrospective, and analytical and consists of analyzing a secondary data source in 2020.

Population and sample

This research includes all women of childbearing age, between 15 and 49 years old, who had a macrosomic newborn (≥ 4000 grams) who voluntarily completed the survey and filled in the data entirely in the household and individual questionnaires in the ENDES 2020. Likewise, women of childbearing age excluded, between 15 and 49 years old, who answered "I don't know" or "I don't remember" in the ENDES 2020 questionnaires. The sample size was 5,096 women, and the type of sampling was a two-stage, probabilistic, balanced type.

Variables and instruments

For data collection, the information from the Microdata base was exported to the statistical software SPSS version 25. The database was downloaded, and the variables of interest were located.

Variable	Label of the variable	Type of variable	Database
M19	Birth weight (Macrosomia)	Dependent	Modulo1633- rec41
HA40	Maternal weight	Independent	Modulo1638- rec5
V012	Maternal age		
V190	Index of wealth	Independent	Modulo1631- rec0111
V025	Area of residence	·	
S108N	Educational		
S119D	Ethnicity	Independent	Modulo1631-rec91
QS109	Gestational Diabetes Gestational		
Q\$102	Hypertension (Comorbidity)	Independent	Modulo1640-csalud 01
V219		independent	
V214	Multiparity		Modulo1632-re223132
	Duration of pregnancy	Independent	

Own elaboration – Source ENDES INEI 2020



The variable "Macrosomia" was coded from Weight of the newborn \geq 4000 g, the variable "Maternal comorbidity" was also coded, combining the pathologies of gestational diabetes and gestational hypertension. The "Obesity" variable was coded from "Maternal weight", establishing BMI > 30. The remaining variables kept the coding established by the INEI Microdata dictionary. A data file was created with the fusion of all the variables necessary for the execution of the study, taking into account the identifiers CASEID and HHID according to the ENDES.

Once the variables have been merged, the missing data are eliminated. The variable "duration of pregnancy" is also eliminated since it has many invalid data, increasing the possibility of error in the investigation. The design of complex samples was carried out, creating a CSPLAN, using the Stratum variable (V0005), conglomerate variable (N. CONGLOM), and the sample weighting factor.

Statistical analysis

The univariate analysis was carried out to know the descriptive characteristics of the population, the percentages obtained were calculated through complex samples, using the weighting factors; in

addition, the relative sampling error (RSE) was determined with an accepted value of less than 15 %. For the association, the corrected F test for complex samples was used, a single multivariate analysis model was carried out, in which the variables with and without statistical significance were included due to its relevance in the literature reviewed, calculating the adjusted RP using the Poisson regression model with robust variance.

For the writing of the tables of the multivariate analysis it was separated by personal, pathological, and sociodemographic factors. Thus determining the maternal factors associated with fetal macrosomia, accepting a confidence level equal to or greater than 95.

Ethical aspects

The ENDES database has informed consent, and the ethical principles applied to research are respected, all corroborated by the ethics committee of the Ricardo Palma University.

RESULTS

An analysis of 5096 Peruvian women who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria was performed, where it was determined that the prevalence of fetal macrosomia is 7.5% (Figure 1).



Graphic N°1. Distribution of Fetal Macrosomia according to the national demographic and family health survey in 2020



Source based on INEI ENDES 2020

during pregnancy, in terms of wealth index 46.5% are poor, 77.2% belong to the urban area, 50.8% are mestizo, 49.7% secondary education level. Table N°. 1

Table 1. Maternal factors associated with Fetal Macrosomia according to the national	
demographic and family health survey in 2020.	

Variables	n	%	CI 95%	MRD
Maternal Age				
15-24	1017	18.9	17.4 - 20.5	4.2%
25-33	2385	47.1	44.9 - 49.3	2.4%
34 or older	1694	34.1	32.1 - 36.1	3.0%
Multiparity				
lf	3848	74.0	72.3 - 75.7	1.2%
No	1248	26.0	24.3 - 27.7	3.3%
Maternal obesity				
lf	1536	30.6	28.6 - 32.7	3.4%
No	3560	69.4	67.3 - 71.4	1.5%
Maternal comorbidity				
Yes	216	4.0	3.3 - 4.9	10.2%
No	4880	96	95.1 - 96.7	0.4%
Wealth Index				
Poor	2817	46.5	44.4 - 48.5	2.2%
Average	1068	21.1	19.3 - 22.9	4.3%
Rico	1211	32.4	30.3 - 34.7	3.4%
Residence Area				
Urban	3639	77.2	75.7 - 78.6	1.0%
Rural	1457	22.8	21.4 - 24.3	3.3%
Ethnicity				
Black	540	10.7	9.6 - 11.8	5.3%
White	379	8.5	7.4 - 9.8	7.2%
Mestiz	2305	50.8	48.8 - 52.8	2.0%
Others	1872	30.0	28.3 - 31.9	3.1%
Education level				
Elementary less	885	14.6	13.4 - 16.0	4.4%
Secondary	2560	49.7	47.5 - 51.8	2.2%
Superior	1651	35.7	33.6 - 37.9	3.1%

Source: INEI ENDES 2020

ERM: Relative error o Sampling

%: Percentages using the weighting factors

According to the multivariate analysis of personal factors, it was determined that having a maternal age of 34 years or older increases the prevalence of fetal macrosomia by 1.04 times, a statistically significant

association (RPa 1.04, Cl 95% 1.008 - 1.078). Likewise, it was determined that multiparity had no significant association. Table N°2

Pág. 492

۲

Table 2. Multivariate analysis of maternal personal factors associated with Fetal Macrosomia in thePeruvian population according to the national demographic and family health survey in 2020.

Personal Factors	Crude RP	95% CIP	value	Adjusted RP	95% CIP	value
Maternal Age						
34 a more	1.05	1.01 - 1.08	0.002	1.04	1.008 - 1.078	0.016
25-33	0.99	0.97 - 1.02	0.845	0.99	0.968 - 1.021	0.668
15-24	1.00			1.00		
Multiparity						0.412
Yes	1.03	1.00 - 1.05	0.016	1.01	0.986 - 1.034	
No	1.00			1.00		

ORIGINAL PAPER

Source: INEI ENDES 2020

Regarding the multivariate analysis of the pathological factors, it was determined that suffering from maternal obesity increases the prevalence of fetal macrosomia by 1.05 times, a a statistically significant association (Rpa 1.05, CI 95% 1.027 – 1.080). In addition, it was determined that having maternal comorbidity had no significant association. Table N°3

Table 3. associated Multivariate analysis of maternal pathological factors Fetal with Macrosomia in the Peruvianpopulation according to the national demographic and family health survey in 2020.

Pathological Factors	Crude RP	95% CIP	value	Adjusted RP	95% CIP	value
Maternal Obesity						
Yes	1.05	1.02 - 1.08	0.000	1.05	1.027 - 1.080	< 0.001
No	1.00			1.00		
Maternal Comorbidity						
lf	1.03	0.97 - 1.09	0.313	1.02	0.983 - 1.088	0.457
No	1.00			1.00		

Source: INEI ENDES 2020

With respect to the data in the analysis in the analysis multivariate analysis of social and demographic

factors, it was determined that none of the variables showed statistical significance. Table N°4

Sociodemographic Factors	Crude RP	95% CIP	value	Adjusted RP	95% CIP	value
Wealth Index						
Rico	1.01	0.99 - 1.03	0.207	1.01	0.984 - 1.044	0.366
Medium	1.03	1.00 - 1.06	0.037	1.03	0.995 - 1.061	0.100
Poor	1.00			1.00		
Residence Area						
Urban	1.02	1.00 - 1.04	0.048	1.01	0.986 - 1.044	0.314
Rural	1.00			1.00		
Ethnic						
Black	1.04	0.96 - 1.04	0.826	1.00	0.968 - 1.047	0.737
Mestizo	0.98	0.96 - 1.01	0.327	0.98	0.962 - 1.012	0.293
Blanca	0.98	0.94 - 1.02	0.381	0.98	0.950 - 1.023	0.462
Otros	1.00			1.00		
Nivel Educativo	1.00					
Primaria a menos		0.97 - 1.04	0.754	1.01	0.977 - 1.053	0.465
Secundaria	0.98	0.96 - 1.01	0.35	0.99	0.969 - 1.018	0.585
Higher	1.00			1.00		

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of maternal sociodemographic factors associated with Fetal Macrosomia in thePeruvian population according to the national survey of demographics and family health in 2020.

Source: INEI ENDES 2020

DISCUSSION

We have identified the factors associated with the higher prevalence of fetal macrosomia, understood as a newborn with a birth weight greater than and equal to 4000 grams, one of the main complications of pregnancy associated with problems of short, medium and long term for the neonate, the fetus and for the mother^(5,2). Within public health, they have focused on low birth weight; however, with the current change in lifestyle and sedentary lifestyle, neonatal weight gain highlights this problem, which is why it is relevant to determine the maternal factors that are associated with fetal macrosomia to avoid future complications.

In the present investigation, it was determined that the prevalence of fetal macrosomia was 7.5%, data similar to those proposed by the WHO, which shows figures of 7.6% in South America, while in Peru, the figure found was 6.9% ⁽⁶⁾. Trujillo also carried out a study at the Hospital of Barranca - Cajatambo identifying that 7.16% of newborns presented fetal macrosomia⁽⁷⁾.

Within the personal factors, it was determined that maternal age of 34 years or more increases the prevalence of fetal macrosomia by 1.04 times (RPa 1.04, 95% CI 1.008 – 1.078). Similar data was found in the study by Wang were determined that in the age group over 33 years, there is a higher prevalence of fetal macrosomia (OR=1.029, 95% CI: 1.012 - 1.046)⁽⁸⁾.

Also, Aisha Salim, in her article, showed that 55.3% of the mothers who had macrosomic babies belonged to the age group of 30 to 39 years (OR = 2.195% Cl 1.2 - 3.7), ⁽⁹⁾, thus evidencing that the older the mother is, the more prevalent a newborn is with macrosomia, this would be based on the fact that advanced age would have an effect on maternal metabolism and thus increase the growth rate of the fetus^(10,11).

Another personal factor studied was multiparity, which did not show a significant association. In contrast to the study carried out by Salas in Spain, who argues that multiparity has been related to the presence of macrosomia (OR = 1.67, 95% CI 1.2-2.2)⁽¹⁾; which agrees with León who identifies that multiparity is a risk factor associated with fetal macrosomia (OR=2.027 95% CI



1.22-3.54)⁽¹²⁾. All this differs from the results obtained in this study because possibly the question "Number of pregnancies" was interpreted subjectively since it did not take into account whether or not it included the current pregnancy.

Among the pathological factors, it was determined that suffering from maternal obesity increases the prevalence of presenting fetal macrosomia by 1.05 times (RPa = 1.05, CI 95%, which is consistent with the cohort study carried out by Lewandowska, where he determined that the prevalence of macrosomia is higher for pregnant women with obesity compared to normal BMI (OR = 3.21 95% 1.69-6.1)⁽¹³⁾, similar to that found by Dsouza in his meta-analysis in which argues that women with a BMI >40 kg/m² have a higher prevalence of fetal macrosomia (2). Likewise, Pereda carried out a study where he determined that macrosomia is more likely in obese women at the end of pregnancy (OR = 2.21; 95% Cl 1.90-2.58)⁽¹⁴⁾. Likewise, in her study, Aisha Salim found that women with a birth weight greater than or equal to 80 kg were four times more likely to give birth to macrosomic babies (OR= 4.0 95% CI 2.2-9.1)⁽¹⁵⁾.

This would be explained because having a higher consumption of carbohydrates and fats, the glucose values in the mother would rise, which would condition hyperinsulinemia in the fetus, for which large amounts of fat would be formed, causing overgrowth in the fetus. fetus⁽⁴⁾.

The other factor that is recognized is the presence of diabetes and gestational hypertension, which in this investigation had no significant association. In contrast to the Vega study, which determined that maternal diabetes (PR = 5.42 95% CI 4.97 - 5.74), maternal gestational hypertension (PR = 1.4095% Cl 0.90 - 3.50) would be factors associated with the presence of macrosomia⁽¹⁵⁾. Torres carried out a study of the pathologies that accompany pregnancy, in which he identified that pregnancy-induced hypertension would be associated with a higher prevalence of having a macrosomic fetus (OR = 3.58 95% CI 1.47 – 8.72)⁽¹⁶⁾. In the same study, the presence of gestational diabetes did not present statistical significance of having a macrosomic newborn (16). Because the sample with gestational diabetes was small, which would also explain the result of our study.

Another study conducted by Alfadhli found that pregnant women with the highest prevalence of macrosomia were those of the diabetes-obese group (32%) followed by diabetes-non-obese (18%), thus demonstrating the influence of diabetes and obesity alone or combined for the presence of fetal macrosomia ⁽¹⁷⁾.

Within the sociodemographic factors, none of the variables analyzed showed statistical significance. However, multiple studies do identify an association with various variables that were analyzed in this research. Annie Ro carried out an investigation in which the non-Hispanic white ethnic group was identified as having a higher prevalence of fetal macrosomia ⁽¹⁸⁾, which contrasts with our study in which the ethnic group did not show an association, which could possibly be explained by a racial difference.

Regarding the area of residence, Vega determines that living in an urban area is a factor associated with a higher prevalence of fetal macrosomia (64.7% and PR = 4.8)⁽¹⁵⁾. This is reinforced by Cunha, who highlights that belonging to the rural area is a protective factor against fetal macrosomia (OR 0.64 Cl 95% 0.41 – 0.99)⁽¹⁹⁾ In the same study, as an index of wealth, Cunha determined that an index of wealth not poor would be associated with a higher prevalence of macrosomia (OR 1.10 Cl 95% 0.44 - 2.71)⁽¹⁹⁾. This differs from the present investigation since urban residence. The rich wealth index does not present a significant association, probably due to the size of the sample used.

The other aspect Espitia conducts a study in Colombia in which he argues that the secondary-university educational level has a higher prevalence of fetal macrosomia PR 1.06 (0.66–1.69), p: 0.817⁽²⁾, in contrast to our study where the population characteristics studied are different, for which no statistical significance was obtained, likewise Cunha carried out his study in Peru in which the educational level did not present a direct association with fetal macrosomia either⁽³⁾.

One limitation of our study was a possible sample bias due to the size of the sample, differences in ethnicity, and the characteristics of the population studied. Another limitation was the type of methodology used in this research, also given that this The study is carried out using a secondary source such as the microdata **Author contributions:** This article was developed thanks to the support provided by the Ricardo Palma University, which through its trained advisors, made it possible to carry out this research. Also, thank the Master Luis Alberto Cano Cárdenas for the support in the methodological advice, supervision, writing of the final version, and revision of the final version.

Funding Source: Not funded.

Correspondence: Angela Ruiz Canchucaja. Address: Avenida Central 997- Urbanización Los alamos de Monterrico, Santiago de Surco. Telephone number: 992604965 E-mail: angelarc0407@gmail.com

REFERENCES

- Peña Salas MS, Escribano Cobalea M, López González E. Macrosomía fetal: factores de riesgo y resultados perinatales. Clínica E Investig En Ginecol Obstet. [Internet] 1 de julio de 2021; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 48(3):100637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gine.2020.09.003
- D'Souza R, Horyn I, Pavalagantharajah S, Zaffar N, Jacob C-E. Maternal body mass index and pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM. [Internet] noviembre de 2019; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021]1(4):100041. <u>DOI:</u> <u>10.1016/j.ajogmf.2019.100041</u>
- Vieira MC, Factores de riesgo prenatales asociados con la morbilidad neonatal en bebés grandes para la edad gestacional: un estudio de cohorte prospectivo internacional. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. [Internet] 2018 [citado 10 de octubre de 2021]; 97 (8): 1015. Disponible en: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29753307/
- Centro de Medicina Fetal y Neonatal de Barcelona. Protocolo Macrosomia rev clinic barcelona [Internet] Junio del 2018 [citado 10 de octubre de 2021] Disponible en: <u>https://medicinafetalbarcelona.org/protocolos/es/patolog</u> iafetal/macrosomia.pdf
- Agudelo-Espitia V, Parra-Sosa BE, Restrepo-Mesa SL. Factors associated with fetal macrosomia. Rev Saude Publica. [Internet] 2019; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 53:100.Disponible en: <u>https://doi.org/10.11606/s1518-8787.2019053001269</u>
- Bazalar-Salas D, Loo-Valverde M. Factores maternos asociados a macrosomia fetal en un hospital público de Lima-Perú, enero a octubre del 2018. Rev Fac Med Humana. [Internet] abril de 2019; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 19(2):62-5. Disponible en: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.25176/RFMH.v19.n2.2066</u>
- Trujillo Alumias EA. Factores asociados a Macrosomía Fetal en el Hospital de Barranca - Cajatambo, 2019.Univ Nac José Faustino Sánchez Carrión [Internet]. 12 de marzo de 2020 [citado 6 de octubre de 2021]; Disponible en: <u>http://repositorio.unjfsc.edu.pe/handle/UNJFSC/3948</u>
- Wang S, Yang L, Shang L, Yang W, Qi C, Huang L, et al. Changing trends of birth weight with maternal age: a cross-sectional study in Xi'an city of Northwestern China. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. [Internet] 30 de noviembre de 2020; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 20(1):744. DOI: <u>10.1186/s12884-020-03445-2.</u>
- Said AS, Manji KP. Risk factors and outcomes of fetal macrosomia in a tertiary centre in Tanzania: a case-control study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 24 de agosto de 2016; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 16:243. DOI: 10.1186/s12884-016-1044-3
- Quiroz Flores, Factores de riesgo para macrosomía fetal en el Hospital María Auxiliadora: enero – diciembre 2016. [Tesis de Pregrado] Repositorio Universidad Ricardo Palma 2016 [citado 24 de mayo de 2022] Disponible en: <u>http://repositorio.urp.edu.pe/bitstream/handle/URP/1228/131%20RQUIR</u> <u>OZ.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y</u>

CONCLUSIONS

This study determined that the maternal factors associated with the prevalence of fetal macrosomia according to the 2020 National Demographic and Family Health Survey were maternal age over 34 years and the presence of maternal obesity.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received: january 03 , 2022 **Approved:** march 04 , 2022

- Pérez Matos C; Factores pronósticos en la inducción del trabajo del parto. Disponible en: <u>https://accedacris.ulpgc.es/bitstream/10553/17367/2/0724</u> <u>41300000000.pdf</u>
- 12. León Jacobo RA. Factores maternos e institucionales relacionados con la macrosomía neonatal en el Hospital Marino Molina Scippa entre mayo y octubre del 2018. Univ Nac Mayor San Marcos [Internet]. 2019 [citado 10 de o c t u b r e d e 2 0 2 1]; D i s p o n i b l e e n : https://cybertesis.unmsm.edu.pe/handle/20.500.12672/11588
- Lewandowska M. Maternal Obesity and Risk of Low Birth Weight, Fetal Growth Restriction, and Macrosomia: Multiple Analyses. Nutrients. [Internet] 7 de abril de 2021; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 13(4):1213. DOI: 10.3390/nu13041213.
- Pereda J, Bove I, Pineyro MM. Excessive Maternal Weight and Diabetes Are Risk Factors for Macrosomia: A Cross-Sectional Study of 42,663 Pregnancies in Uruguay. Front Endocrinol. [Internet] 2020; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 11:588443. DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2020.588443
- 15. Vega IMT, Arbieto Factores asociados a Macrosomia Fetal en neonatos peruanos: Un estudio poblacional Univ Ricardo Palma [Internet]. 2019 [citado 10 de octubre de 2021]; Disponible en: http://repositorio.urp.edu.pe/handle/URP/2089
- 16. Torres JLM, Barrios I, Bataglia R. Factores de riesgo materno asociados con macrosomía fetal en el Hospital de Clínicas. An. Fac. Cienc. Méd. (Asunción), [Internet] 2021; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021] 54(2): 71-78. https://doi.org/10.18004/anales/2021.054.02.71
- 17. Alfadhli EM. Maternal obesity influences Birth Weight more than gestational Diabetes author. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. [Internet] 6 de febrero de 2021; [citado 6 de octubre de 2021]21(1):111. DOI: 10.1186/s12884-021-03571-5.
- Ro, Annie, Rachel E. Goldberg, y Jennifer B. Kane. «Racial and Ethnic Patterning of Low Birth Weight, Normal Birth Weight, and Macrosomia». Preventive medicine [Internet] 2019 [citado 10 de octubre de 2021] 118:196-204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2018.10.012.
- Cunha AJLA da, Toro MS, Gutiérrez C, Alarcón-Villaverde J. Prevalence and associated factors of macrosomia in Peru, 2013. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica. [Internet] marzo de 2017; [citado 10 de octubre de 2021] 34(1):36-42.http://dx.doi.org/10.17843/rpmesp.2017.341.2765
- 20. Rendón MT, Apaza DH. Macrosomía fetal en el perú prevalencia, factores de riesgo y resultados perinatales. Cienc Desarro. [Internet] 2006; [citado 6 de o c t u b r e d e 2 0 2 1] (1 0) : 5 9 6 2 . D O I : https://doi.org/10.33326/26176033.2006.10.200

