ARTICULO DE REVISION

Basic and Clinical Aspects of Clostridium Difficile Colitis

Tetsuo Morishita^{*}, Takamori Nakayama^{**}, Toshiaki Kamiya^{***} Syunji Mori^{**}, Kiyoshi Isobe^{**}, Yoshiaki Furuta^{**}.

RESUMEN

El Clostridiundifficille, unbaciloanaerábico, descritoconese calificativo "dificil" en 1935, por ser su aislamiento y cultivo complicado ha tomado una gran importancia en los últimos años, por ser un contaminante nosocomial común en pacientes o en guarderias. Se le ha identificado como habitante de la flora bacteriana normal en 1% de la población saludable y en 20% de las personas que viven en guarderias o casas de reposo.

Su patogenicidad esta relacionada a ciertos factores en el huésped.

La entidad clásica por la que el C. difficile ha sido mejor identificado es la colitis seudomembranosa; un proceso inflamatorio del colon en el que diarrea con moco e inclusive sangre aparece en pacientes que han estado consumiendo antibióticos de amplio espectro.

La patogenicidad del C. difficile esta relacionado a la producción de 2 tóxinas que destruyen el citoesqueleto de los enterocitos y balonan estas células. La toxina A, una enterotoxina, y la toxina B, una citotoxina 1,000 veces más potente que la tóxina A en cultivos celulares, pero que no es enterotóxica.

El tratamiento de pacientes con antibióticos de amplio espectro es el factor desencadenante de esta infección; sin embargo, ni el número de antibióticos utilizados, ni la duración de la terapia, fueron determinantes para el desarrollo de la infección. Se cree que componentes de la flora normal, como el Lactobacilli y los Bacteroides, suprimen el crecimiento o colonización del C. difficile; aspecto que suprimido por el uso de los antibióticos, predispone el desarrollo del cuadro clínico. Las seudomembranas son prácticamente diagnósticas de la enfermedad, pero solo se ven en el 50% de los casos. El colon izquierdo es el más afectado, pero no hay que olvidar que el rectosigmoides puede estar respetado.

La sintomatología es muy variable, desde molestias leves hasta infecciones severas con diarreas acuosas profusas y sanguinolentas, retortijones, fiebre, deshidratación, leucocitosis e hipoalbuminemia.

El examen que sigue siendo el "estándar de oro", en el diagnóstico de la colitis por C. difficile es la determinación de citotóxina en heces; con una sensibilidad de 94%, yuna especificidad de 99%. El cultivo de C. difficile en medio con cicloserina, cefoxitima y fructosa es sensible, pero poco específico, desde que hay cepas de C. difficile no toxigénicas.

La terapia de hidratación y suspender los antibióticos, mejora a 30% de los pacientes. En los más tóxicos o no respondedores el tratamiento con metronidazol o vancomicina solucionará el problema en 95% de los pacientes, luego de 10 días de tratamiento. En el caso de la aparición de megacolon tóxico como complicación del C. difficile, la colectomia total puede ser salvadora.

La mortalidad actual por C. difficile alcanza el 3-4% de los casos.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Clostridium difficille, Colitis seudomembranosa

- Department of Surgery, Shizuoka Red Cross Hospital, Shizuoka, 420-0853 Japan
- Department of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Christian University, Santa Cruz, Bolivia

^{*} Department of Internal Medicine, Tokyo Dental College Ichikawa General Hospital, Chiba, 272-8513 Japan

SUMMARY

Clostridium difficile, a gram-positive anaerobic bacillus dubbed as the difficult clostridium because it resisted early attempts of isolation and cultura. After some decades in the dakness, it became famous, when in 1978, a cytotoxin of the C. difficile was found the responsable of the pseudomembranous colitis. We review in this paper aspects of the epidemiology of the C. difficile in health and disease. Also the importance of C. difficile as a cause of nosocomial infections. We review the caracheristics of the toxins A and B produced by the pathogenics strains of C. difficile. Finally, clinical aspects of infection with C. difficile in special in the pseudomembranosa colitis. The diagnosis, medical therapy, complications and surgical indications are briefly described.

KEY WORDS: Clostridium difficille, Pseudomembranosa colitis

INTRODUCTION

lostridiumdifficile (C. difficile) infection has been identified as a common pathogen and has become a nosocomial threat in recent years¹⁾. This gram-positive anaerobic bacilluswasdubbed «the difficult clostridium» in 1935, because it resisted early attempts at isolation and grew slowly in culture²⁾. The bacteria were initially considered a harmless commensal, since infected infants showed no signs of illness. C. difficile subsequently passed into obscurity. In 1978, C. difficile reappeared as the source of the cytotoxin in the stools of patients with pseudomembranous colitis³⁾. C. difficile forms spores that persist in the environment for months or years. Ingested orally, these spores survive the acid environment of the stomachand convert to vegetative form in the colon. Patients withmilddiarrheamayrequireonlydiscontinuationofantibiotic therapy⁴⁾. This study was reviewed to access the incidence and implication of C. difficile colitis.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

C.difficile colitishs increased exponentially during the past 10 years⁵). The carriage rate of C. difficile was a shigh as 50%-70% inseveral longitudinal studies of healthy infants less than one year of age⁶). Newborns acquire C. difficile from the hospital environment. Many infants carrying C. difficile have high titers of toxin in the stools but are completely asymptomatic. This suggests that host factors required for pathogenesis are lacking in the first year of life. Site specific to C. difficile toxins are abent in the newborn rabbit intestine and are only expressed after we aning ⁷). Thus one possible explanation is that the infant intestine lacks specific receptors for these toxins, which develop later in life. Because serum antibodies are found in 60% of children⁶), it is also believed that infants are protected from the toxins by matemal antibodies.

C. difficile is an anaerobic bacillus that has been cultured as a component of the normal intestinal flora in 2^{-3} of healthy adult subjects⁹. The prevalence of asymptomatic carriage varies widely depending on setting; rates of 1% in healthy subjects to over 20% in a long-term care facility have been documented¹⁰.

Treatment of asymptomatic carriers with antibiotics is not recommended, since it does not permanently reduce the rate of carriage^{III}.

BACTERIOLOGY

Pathogenic strains of C. difficile produce two toxins: toxin A which is a 308 kDa enterotoxin, and toxin B, which is a 250-270 kDa cytotoxin¹²⁾. Toxin A causes fluid secretion, mucosal damage, and intestinal inflammation when injected into the rodent intestine¹³⁾. Toxin B is approximately 1000 timesmore potent than toxin A as a cytotoxin in tissue culture, but is not enterotoxic in animals; Both toxins (1) are lethal when injected parenterally in animals; (2) stimulate release of cytokines such as interleukin (IL) 1, IL-6 and two rnecrosis factor¹⁴⁾; (3) act on mast cells to release histamine and may affect leucocyte endothelial cells and platelet interactions through up regulation of adhesion molecules¹⁵⁾; and (4) act as enzymes to glucosylate a threeonine residue on GIP-binding rho proteins¹⁶⁾.

Toxin A is a chemoattractant for neutrophils¹⁷⁾. A specificglycoproteinreceptor for toxinAhavebæn identified on enterocytemenbranes, and this toxinAreceptor is linked to a guanine nucleotide regulatory protein in rabbits¹⁸⁾. After binding to its receptor, toxinA induces the disaggregation of actinfilaments, collapse of the cytoskeleton, and cell rounding ¹⁹⁾. ToxinB causes an identical rounding of cultured cells. C. difficile strainshavebæn classified by their bacterial proteins, but these classifications have little clinical utility except to track hospital outbreaks²⁰⁾.

PATHOGENESIS

Therapy with broad-spectrum antibiotics is the key precipitating factor for infection. C. difficile colitishasbeen shown to develop in patients taking antibiotics; however, neither the number of antibiotics nor the duration of therapy wasa factor inpredisposing patients to infection²¹⁾. Infection occurs via the fecal-oral route. The spores resist stomachacid and develop into a vegetative form in the colon. C. difficile taxins bind to specific receptors to stimulate fluid secretion and necrosis of the mucosa associated with an inflammatory infiltrate.

C. difficile formsheat-resistant spores that maypensist in the environment for months or even years, and spores of the organism are resistant to all hospital disinfectants except alkaline glutaraldehyde. Spores have been isolated from furniture, sinks, toilets, floors, bedding, mops, bedpans and other surfaces, particularly, inhospitals and long-term care facilities^{22.20}.

C. difficile can colonize the bowel after a disturbance of the ecology of the intestinal flora²⁴⁾. The oral inoculation of hansters with small doses of C. difficile is sufficient to cause colitis after treatment with antibiotics²⁵⁾. This fact suggests that certain organisms in the normal flora prevent colonization by C. difficile. Presumably, some components of the normal intestinal flora, such as lactobacilli and bacteroides, suppress the growth or prevent the colonization of C. difficile, and antibiotics confer a predisposition to disease by inhibiting these competing organisms.

Pseudomembranous colitis

Pseudomembranous colitis (PMC) was first recognized as a clinical entity in the 1950s. The advent of broad-spectrum antibiotics in the 1960s led to amarked rise in the numbers of PMC patients. It sconbecame clear that C. difficile could be isolated from the stools of PMC patients. PMC is the classical and dramatic manifestation of C. difficile infection. It is now accepted that C. difficile is responsible for virtually all antibioticassociated colitis.

The histologic features of pseudomembranous colitis are divided into three types²⁶⁾. Type I, the earliest lesion, is characterized by patchy epithelial necrosis accompanied by an exudation of fibrin and neutrophils into the colonic lumen. The type II lesion has a more prominent exudate that erupts as a «volcano» or «summit» lesion from a focus of epithelial ulceration; the surrounding mucosa remains intact. The type III lesion is characterized by a pseudomembrane consisting of mucin, fibrin, leukocytes, and cellular debris.

The presence of pseudomembranes at endoscopy is diagnostic, but such presence can only be detected in about 50% of cases ²⁷⁾. The left colon ismost commonly affected, but the rectosigmoid is spared in 60% of cases and in 10% the disease is confined to the right colon. On sigmoidoscopic inspection, pseudomembranes appear as yellow or off-white raised plaques of 2-20 mm in diameter scattered over a hyperemic intervening mucosa. Exposure of the human colon to C. difficile toxins is followed by shedding of cells from the basement membrane into the lumen leaving a shallow ulcer. The serumprotein, mucus and inflammatory cells flow outward from the ulcer, creating the typical colonic pseudomembrane. The spewing forth of the inflammatory exudate from the mucosal ulcerations produces the typical «volcano» or «sumit» lesions of C. difficilecolitis.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Manifestations of C. difficile colitis can vary from asymptomatic colonization to a life-threatening infection¹⁾. Profuse watery or bloody diarrhea, cramping abdominal pain, fever, leukocytosis, dehydration, and hypoalbuminemia are thehallmarks of C. difficile colitis²⁰⁾. Inmildform, C. difficile produces abdominal discomfort and presents just as colitis without a pseudomembrane.

Patients with C. difficile occasionally present with an acute abdomen and fulminant colitis. Colonic muscular tone maybe lost, resulting in toxic dilatation or megacolon. The development of a paralytic ileus and colonic dilatation can result in a paradoxical decrease indiarrhea. Such patients are acutely ill, with hypovolemic shock, toxic megacolon and perforation and the condition can be lethal despite aggressive medical or surgical treatment ^{29,30}.

DIAGNOSIS

The gold standard for the laboratory diagnosis of C. difficile infection is the stool-cytotoxintest. This is a tissue culture assay based on the induction of cell rounding by C. difficile toxins instool filtrate. A fewpicograms of toxinB is sufficient to induce the rounding of cultured cells, and the specificity of the assay is established by the addition of specific neutralizing antiserm³¹. This test is both extremely sensitive (94%) and specific (99%) ³². In addition, enzyme immunoassays have recently been introduced and detect toxin with good sensitivity (85%-95%) and specificity (99%) ^{33,34}. Since they are quicker and simpler to perform, the enzyme immunoassays areagodal ternative to the stool-cytotoxin test. C. difficile strains can be categorized as low, medium and high toxin producers, but disease severity does not appear to correlate with the concentration of toxin in the stools ^{35,36}.

C. difficile is readily cultured on agar media containing cycloserine, œfoxitin, and fnuctose³⁷⁾. Oblonies of C. difficile exhibit a characteristic yellow-green fluorescence under ultraviolet light. Cultures are the most sensitive method of detecting C. difficile, whereas cytotoxin assay is the most specific³⁸⁾. Stool culture for C. difficile is a less specific method for establishing a diagnosis, since some strains of C. difficile are non-toxigenic.

There is often substantial mucosal edema of the colon, which may leave thumbprint appearance on abdominal film³⁹. Computed tomography may reveal «clover leaf» or «accordion» signs, the latter thought to be pathognomonic of PMC, in less than 20% of cases. Bowel wall thickening, pericolic streaking and ascites are noted in over half the patients⁴⁰. These appearances cannot be distinguished from those of obstruction or ischemia.

The differential diagnosis should include Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis and infections with intestinal pathogens such as Salmonella, Shigella, Entanceba histolytica, Campylobacter, Yersinia, Strongyloides, and Staphylococcus. Cytomegalovirus (CW) infection is also included in the differential diagnosis of PMC, especially in immuno compromised patients. Beaugerie reported five cases of toxic megacolon inpatients withhuman immunodeficiency virus infection; two cases were related to C. difficile infection and three to CMV^{41} . The latter were macroscopically and histologically indistinguishable from the former.

TREATMENT

Initial Management

Initial treatment of C. difficile colitis includes discontinuation of the antibiotic therapy and the replacement of lost fluid. About 30% of patients improve within a few days after these measures^{42,43)}. Enteric isolation precautions should be taken. If the patients have not been, they should be treated with specific antimicrobial therapy.

Although therapy with antiperistaltic or antidiarrheal agents may appear to reduce diarrhea, such agents are generally contraindicated inC. difficile colitis, because they may lead to intestinal stasis, retention of toxins, and the development of complications⁴⁴.

Antibiotic Therapy

C. difficile rarely invades the colonic mucosa, and therapy exerts its beneficial effects within the lumen of the colonby stopping production of the toxins. Prompt therapy with metronidazole or vancomy cinmay control the colitis. Symptomatic improvement can be expected within 3-4 days, and colitis resolves completely inmore than 95% of patients after 10 days of treatment. The diarrhea and fever, however, can take more than an additional week to resolve, probably because inflammation may persist after tox in production is stopped.

Metronidazole is less expensive than vancomycin, and is the drug of first choice. Vancomycin is reserved for patients with severe disease or for those who fail to respond to metronidazole. A randomized prospective trial comparing the two drugs showed them to be equally effective. Vancomycin had the same efficacy whether given at a high or low dose⁴⁵. Oral vancomycin is ideal for the treatment of C. difficile colitis, since the drug is not appreciably absorbed or metabolized but is excreted unchanged in the stool⁴⁶. However, vancomycin may accumulate in significant amounts inpatients with renal impairment⁴⁷.

Interns of intravenous administration, only metronidazole appears to be effective, since it is excreted in the bile and exudes from the colon⁴⁸⁾. Patients who cannot tolerate oral medication, such as those with ileus, can be treated with intravenous metronidazole. Metronidazole sometimes causes nausea and a metallic taste, and in conjunction with alcohol it can cause a disulfiram-like effect. There have also been reports of diarrhea induced by metronidazole. And it is important to note that metronidazole-resistant strains of C. difficile have been isolated.

The absence of significant improvement after 48-72 hours of antibiotic therapy may indicate a more serious infection. It is important to realize that medical therapy is not always effective, and surgical intervention can be lifesaving in advanced or refractory cases⁴⁹.

Immunoglobulin

Rapid improvement has been reported following immunoglobulinadministration for patients with C. difficile colitis ⁵⁰). Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for unresponsive C. difficile colitismay be justified for patients with coexisting medical problems. Although surgery is lifesaving insevere circumstances, patients wondering surgery should be aware that surgical treatment still has a high mortality rate^{30.51}.

Secondary Infection

A substantial proportion (10%-20%) of patients have a relapse of diarrhea from C. difficile⁵². The relapse usually occurs within 1-3 weeks after the termination of initial therapy⁵³. The diagnosis of recurrent diarrhea should be confirmed by a stool-toxin assay. It is not necessary, however, to test patients routinely for persistent C. difficile infection, since many of those who continue to have positive toxin test are asymptomatic. Symptomatic relapse should be treated in the same way as primary infection. Further antibiotic therapy is not required to precipitate a relapse, since these patients often resolve spontaneously. This conservative approach makes a subsequent relapse far less likely and the majority of patients may be expected to respond to a repeat course of vancomycin or metronidazole.

It has been assumed that relapse is due to reactivation of spores that have persisted in the colon, as many patients continue to excrete C. difficile longafter the clinical illness has passed. Recently, by DNA typing of C. difficile from patients with recurrences of symptoms, Wilcox confirmed that 50% of the recurrences of symptoms were due to reinfection rather than relapse $^{54)}$. A significant proportion of relapses must be due to no soccurial infection with a second strain of C. difficile, which is a failure of infection control, not of treatment.

Outbreak management

Close monitoring of antibiotics is important so that patients are not needlessly exposed to a long drug course. Prophylactic treatment withoral metronidazole has not reduced the incidence of C. difficile colitis inpatients undergoing bowel preparation⁵⁵.

C. difficile is associated with substantial morbidity and mortality and the financial burden to hospitals is large and increasing⁵⁵⁾. As many as 20% of hospital patients are colonized by C. difficile and up to 30% of these develop diarrhea⁵⁷⁾. C. difficile spores may become dormant and act as an infective source for months or years to come: this is the missing co-factor to prescription of antibiotics that allows hospital outbreaks⁵⁸⁾.

Treatment should be based on positive toxin assays, thoughpatients may be treated blindly for C. difficile infection while the results of the assay are awaited. However, the consequences of such blind treatment may have serious consequences in terms of morbidity due to drug side effects and the possibility of overlooking other causes of diarrhea. Such a policy creates hospital disruption. Finally, patients with diarrhea should be isolated until formed stools are obtained, whether or not they are positive for C. difficile taxins.

SURGERY

Surgical Patients

There has been a marked increase in the number of surgical patients developing C. difficile infection^{59,60,61}. This is considered due to heightened awareness of the condition, better diagnostic methods, more widespread use of broad-spectrumantibiotics, and the increasing proportion of patients who are elderly and immunocompromised^{28,55}. Most of C. difficile patients are ever 65 years in age. Age is an independent risk factor, not simply are flection of increase antibiotic usage, as natural immunity appears to wane. Rubin studied 710 patients over a 38-month period; factors that significantly predisposed to the development of C. difficile colitis included nalignancy, duranic obstructive lung disease, laxatives, steroids, renal failure and administration of clindamycin³⁰.

Patients undergoing preoperative bowel preparation are at increased risk of C. difficile colitis, since alterations in the normal intestinal flora allow the overgrowth of nosocomial bacteria. C. difficile colitis is an important cause of morbidity and a significant contributor to death in patients undergoing major surgery, although C. difficile may be a trivial and selflimiting condition in healthy persons. In a recent series of surgical patients with C. difficile colitis 62, the only factor that discriminated those who died was length of time from symptoms to treatment, 6 days for survivors versus nearly 11 days for non-survivors. The mortality rate was 3%-4% of cases. Prompt diagnosis and treatment are crucial, if morbidity and mortality rates are to be minimized. Early treatment of diarrhea is recommended in surgical patients while awaiting the results of the stool toxin assay⁶³⁾. Prophylactic treatment of surgical patients undergoing bowel preparations should be considered.

Surgical Intervention

The first presentation of C. difficile infection may be as a surgical emergency associated with toxic megacolon and perforation⁶⁴. Patients sometimes require extensive colectomy, i.e., a total abdominal colectomy, ileostomy, and mucous fistula^{65,66}. Lipaett reported 13 adults who underwent operation for C. difficile colitis; the mortality rate was 38%⁶⁷. All four patients undergoing left hemicolectomy died, compared with 14% of those patients who underwent total colectomy with ileostomy. The authors emphasized that the external appearance of the colon may be deceptively normal, and this should not tempt the surgeon to perform a limited resection and primary anastomosis, rather than total colectomy.

On the other hand, operative intervention may be inappropriate, because of the highmortality rate associated with surgery itself. In some patients, such as those without free perforation, diffuse peritonities or toxic dilatation, there is no alternative to laparotomy. Once the organism is eradicated, the mucosal surface returns to normal even in severe C. difficile colities.

REFERRENCE

1. KELLY CP, POTHOULAKIS C, LAMONT JT: Clostridium difficile colitis. N Engl J Med, 1994; 330: 257-62.

- HALL IC, O'TOOLE E: Intestinal flora in new-born infants with a description of a new pathogenic anaerobe, Bacillus difficilis. Am J Dis Child, 1935; 49: 390-402.
- BARTLETT JG, MOON N, CHANG TW, TAYLOR N, ONDERDONK AB: Role of Clostridium difficile in antibiotic-associated pseudomembranous colitis. Gastroenterology, 1978; 75: 778-82.
- TEASLEY DG, GERDING DN, OLSON MM, PETERSON LR, GEBHARD RL, SCHWARTZ MJ, et al: Prospective randomised trial of metronidazole versus vancomycin for Clostridium-difficile-associated diarrhoea and colitis. Lancet, 1983; 2: 1043-6.
- 5. STARR JM, ROGERS TR, IMPALLOMENI M: Hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile diarrhoea and herd immunity. Lancet, 1997; 349: 426-8.
- LARSON HE, BARCLAY FE, HONOUR P, HILL ID: Epidemiology of Clostridium difficile in infants. J Infect Dis, 1982; 146: 727-33.
- EGLOW R, POTHOULAKIS C, ITZKOWITZ S, ISRAEL EJ, O'KEANE CJ, GONG D, et al: Diminished Clostridium difficile toxin A sensitivity in newborn rabbit ileum is associated with decreased toxin A receptor. J Clin Invest, 1992; 90: 822-9.
- 8. MULLIGAN ME, MILLER SD, MCFARLAND LV, FUNG HC, KWOK RY: Elevated levels of serum immunoglobulins in asymptomatic carriers of Clostridium difficile. Clin Infect Dis, 1993; 16: S239-44.
- 9. WEYMANN LH: Colitis caused by Clostridium difficile, a review: Am J Med Technol, 1982; 48: 927-34.
- RUDENSKY B, ROSNER S, SONNENBLICK M, VAN DIJK Y, SHAPIRA E, ISAACSOHN M: The prevalence and nosocomial acquisition of Clostridium difficile in elderly hospitalized patients. Postgrad Med J, 1993; 69: 45-7.
- JOHNSON S, HOMANN SR, BETTIN KM, QUICK JN, CLABOTS CR, PETERSON LR, et al: Treatment of asymptomatic Clostridium difficile carriers (fecal excretors) with vancomycin or metronidazole, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Ann Intern Med, 1992; 117: 297-302.
- LYERLY DM, KRIVAN HC, WILKINS TD: Clostridium difficile, its disease and toxins. Clin Microbiol Rev, 1988; 1: 1-18.
- TRIADAFILOPOULOS G, POTHOULAKIS C, O'BRIEN MJ, LAMONT JT: Differential effects of Clostridium difficile toxins A and Bonrabbit ileum. Gastroenterology. 1987; 93: 273-9.
- MILLER PD, POTHOULAKIS C, BAEKER TR, LAMONT JT, ROTHSTEIN TL: Macrophage-dependent stimulation of T cell-depleted spleen cells by Clostridium difficile toxin A and calcium ionophore. Cell Immunol, 1990; 126: 155-63.
- KUROSE I, POTHOULAKIS C, LAMONT JT, ANDERSON DC, PAULSON JC, MIYASAKA M, et al: Clostridium difficile toxin A-induced microvascular

dysfunction. Role of histamine. J Clin Invest, 1994; 94: 1919-26.

- JUST I, SELZER J, WILM M, VON EICHEL-STREIBER C, MANN M, AKTORIES K: Glucosylation of Rho proteins by Clostridium difficile toxin B. Nature, 1995; 375: 500-3.
- POTHOULAKIS C, SULLIVAN R, MELNICK DA, TRIADAFILOPOULOSG, GADENNEAS, MESHULAM T, et al: Clostridium difficile toxin A stimulates intracellular calcium release and chemotactic response in human granulocytes. J Clin Invest, 1988; 81: 1741-5.
- POTHOULAKIS C, LAMONT JT, EGLOW R, GAO N, RUBINS JB, THEOHARIDES TC, et al: Characterization of rabbit ileal receptors for Clostridium difficile toxin A, evidence for a receptor-coupled G protein. J Clin Invest, 1991; 88: 119-25.
- HECHT G, POTHOULAKIS C, LAMONT JT, MADARA JL: Clostridium difficile toxin A perturbs cytoskeletal structure and tight junction permeability of cultured human intestinal epithelial monolayers. J Clin Invest, 1988; 82: 1516-24.
- 20. TABAQCHALI S: Epidemiologic markers of Clostridium difficile. Rev Infect Dis, 1990; 12: S192-9.
- 21. Editorial: Antibiotic-associated colitis, the continuing saga. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed), 1981; 282: 1913-4.
- 22. MARRIETJ, FURLONGM, FAULKNERRS, SIDOROV J, HALDANE EV, KERR EA: Clostridium difficile, epidemiology and clinical features. Can J Surg, 1982; 25: 438-42.
- FEKETY R, KIM KH, BROWN D, BATTS DH, CUDMORE M, SILVA J JR: Epidemiology of antibiotic-associated colitis, isolation of Clostridium difficile from the hospital environment. Am J Med, 1981; 70: 906-8.
- 24. BORRIELLO SP: The influence of the normal flora on Clostridium difficile colonisation of the gut. Ann Med,1990; 22: 61-7.
- FEKETY R, SILVA J, TOSHNIWAL R, ALLO M, ARMSTRONG J, BROWNE R, et al: Antibioticassociated colitis, effects of antibiotics on Clostridium difficile and the disease in hamsters. Rev Infect Dis, 1979; 1: 386-97.
- 26. PRICE AB, DAVIES DR:Pseudomembranous colitis. J Clin Pathol, 1977; 30: 1-12.
- 27. GERDING DN, OLSONMM, PETERSONLR, TEASLEY DG, GEBHARD RL, SCHWARTZ ML, et al: Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea and colitis in adults, a prospective case-controlled epidemiologic study. Arch Intern Med, 1986; 146: 95-100.
- 28. FEKETY R, SHAH AB: Diagnosis and treatment of Clostridium difficile colitis. JAMA, 1993; 269: 71-5.
- 29. TRIADAFILOPOULOS G, HALLSTONE AE: Acute abdomen as the first presentation of pseudomembranous colitis. Gastroenterology, 1991; 101: 685-91.

- RUBIN MS, BODENSTEIN LE, KENT KC: Severe Clostridium difficile colitis. Dis Colon Rectum, 1995; 38: 350-4.
- DOERN GV, COUGHLIN RT, WU L: Laboratory diagnosis of Clostridium difficile-associated gastrointestinal disease, comparison of a monoclonal antibody enzyme immunoassay for toxins A and B with a monoclonal antibody enzyme immunoassay for toxin A only and two cytotoxicity assays. J Clin Microbiol, 1992; 30: 2042-6.
- DE GIROLAMI PC, HANFF PA, EICHELBERGER K, LONGHI L, TERESA H, PRATT J, et al: Multicenter evaluation of a new enzyme immunoassay for detection of Clostridium difficile enterotoxin A. J Clin Microbiol, 1992; 30: 1085-8.
- BOWMAN RA, ARROW S, CROESE L, RILEY TV: Evaluation of an enzyme immunoassay kit for the detection of Clostridium difficile enterotoxin. Pathology, 1994; 26: 480-1.
- ARROW SA, CROESE L, BOWMAN RA, RILEY TV: Evaluation of three commercial enzyme immunoassay kits for detecting faecal Clostridium difficile toxins. J Clin Pathol, 1994; 47: 954-6.
- 35. GILLIGAN PH, MCCARTHY LR, GENTA VM: Relative frequency of Clostridium difficile in patients with diarrheal disease. J Clin Microbiol, 1981; 14: 26-31.
- 36. BURDON DW, THOMPSON H, CANDY DC, KEARNS M, LEES D, STEPHEN J: Enterotoxin(s) of Clostridium difficile. Lancet, 1981; 2: 258-9.
- GEORGE WL, SUTTER VL, CITRON D, FINEGOLD SM: Selective and differential medium for isolation of Clostridium difficile. J Clin Microbiol, 1979; 9: 214-9.
- MUNDY LS, SHANHOLTZER CJ, WILLARD KE, GERDING DN, PETERSON LR: Laboratory detection of Clostridium difficile, a comparison of media and incubation systems. Am J Clin Pathol, 1995; 103: 52-6.
- KUNTZ DP, SHORTSLEEVE MJ, KANTROWITZ PA, GAUVIN GP: Clostridium difficile enteritis, a cause of intramural gas. Dig Dis Sci, 1993; 38: 1942-4.
- WILCOX MH, SPENCER RC: Clostridium difficile infection, responses, relapses and re-infections. J Hosp Infect, 1992; 22: 85-92.
- BEAUGERIE L, NGO Y, GOUJARD F, GHARAKHANIAN S, CARBONNEL F, LUBOINSKI J, et al: Etiology and management of toxic megacolon in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. Gastroenterology, 1994; 107: 858-63.
- 42. GEORGE RH, SYMONDS JM, DIMOCK F, BROWN JD, ARABI Y, SHINAGAWA N, et al: Identification of Clostridium difficile as a cause of pseudomembranous colitis. Br Med J, 1978; 1: 695.
- 43. WELCH DF, MARKS MI: Is Clostridium difficile pathogenic in infants? J Pediatr, 1982; 100: 393-5.
- 44. CHURCH JM, FAZIO VW: A role for colonic stasis in the pathogenesis of disease related to Clostridium difficile. Dis Colon Rectum, 1986; 29: 804-9.

- 45. FEKETY R, SILVA J, KAUFFMAN C, BUGGY B, DEERY HG: Treatment of antibiotic-associated Clostridium difficile colitis with oral vancomycin: comparison of two dosage regimens. Am J Med, 1989; 86: 15-9.
- KLEINFELD DI, SHARPE RJ, DONTA ST: Parenteral therapy for antibiotic-associated pseudomembranous colitis. J Infect Dis, 1988; 157: 389.
- 47. THOMPSON CM JR, GILLIGAN PH, FISHER MC, LONG SS: Clostridium difficile cytotoxin in a pediatric population. Am J Dis Child, 1983; 137: 271-4.
- 48. BOLTON RP, CULSHAW MA: Faecal metronidazole concentrations during oral and intravenous therapy for antibiotic associated colitis due to Clostridium difficile. Gut, 1986; 27: 1169-72.
- 49. BRADLEY SJ, WEAVER DW, MAXWELL NP, BOUWMAN DL: Surgical management of pseudomembranous colitis. Am Surg, 1988; 54: 329-32.
- SALCEDO J, KEATES S, POTHOULAKIS C, WARNY M, CASTAGLIUOLO I, LAMONT JT, et al: Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for severe Clostridium difficile colitis. Gut, 1997; 41: 366-70.
- 51. TRUDEL JL, DESCHENES M, MAYRAND S, BARKUN AN: Toxic megacolon complicating pseudomembranous enterocolitis. Dis Colon Rectum, 1995; 38: 1033-8.
- YOUNG G, MCDONALD M: Antibiotic-associated colitis, why do patients relapse? Gastroenterology, 1986; 90: 1098-9.
- 53. MCFARLAND LV, SURAWICZ CM, RUBINM, FEKETY R, ELMER GW, GREENBERG RN: Recurrent Clostridium difficile disease, epidemiology and clinical characteristics. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol, 1999; 20: 43-50.
- WILCOX MH, FAWLEY WN, SETTLE CD, DAVIDSON A: Recurrence of symptoms in Clostridium difficile infection–relapse or reinfection? J Hosp Infect, 1998; 38: 93-100.
- 55. YEE J, DIXON CM, MCLEAN AP, MEAKINS JL: Clostridium difficile disease in a department of surgery, the significance of prophylactic antibiotics. Arch Surg, 1991; 126: 241-6.

- WILCOX MH, CUNNIFFE JG, TRUNDLE C, REDPATH C: Financial burden of hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile infection. J Hosp Infect, 1996; 34: 23-30.
- 57. MCFARLAND LV, MULLIGAN ME, KWOK RY, STAMM WE: Nosocomial acquisition of Clostridium difficile infection. N Engl J Med, 1989; 320: 204-10.
- 58. STARR JM, IMPALLOMENI M: Risk of diarrhoea, Clostridium difficile and cefotaxime in the elderly. Biomed Pharmacother, 1997; 51: 63-7.
- 59. ROSENBERG JM, WALKERM, WELCHJP, MULLANY L: Clostridium difficile colitis in surgical patients. Am J Surg, 1984; 147: 486-91.
- 60. BRADBURY AW, BARRETT S: Surgical aspects of Clostridium difficile colitis. Br J Surg, 1997; 84: 150-9.
- KENT KC, RUBIN MS, WROBLEWSKI L, HANFF PA, SILEN W: The impact of Clostridium difficile on a surgical service, a prospective study of 374 patients. Ann Surg, 1998; 227: 296-301.
- JOBE BA, GRASLEY A, DEVENEY KE, DEVENEY CW, SHEPPARD BC: Clostridium difficile colitis, an increasing hospital-acquired illness. Am J Surg, 1995; 169: 480-3.
- 63. MCCARTER MD, ABULARRAGE C, VELASCO FT, DAVIS JM, DALY JM:Diarrhea and Clostridium difficileassociated diarrhea on a surgical service. Arch Surg, 1996; 131: 1333-7.
- 64. REXROTHG: Toxic megacolon in pseudomembranous colitis, complicated course of antibiotic-induced Clostridium difficile colitis. Fortschr Med, 1993; 111: 219-23.
- 65. JACKSON BT, ANDERS CJ: Idiopathic pseudomembranous colitis successfully treated by surgical excision. Br J Surg, 1972; 59: 154-6.
- BOYD WC, DENBESTEN L: Subtotal colectomy for refractory pseudomembranous enterocolitis. JAMA, 1976; 235: 181.
- 67. LIPSETT PA, SAMANTARAY DK, TAM ML, BARTLETT JG, LILLEMOE KD: Pseudomembranous colitis, a surgical disease? Surgery, 1994; 116: 491-6.