Endoscopic ultrasound hemostasis techniques
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ABSTRACT
Since its development, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) has evolved from a simple diagnostic technique to an important therapeutic tool for interventional endoscopy. EUS analysis provides real-time imaging of most major thoracic and abdominal vessels, and the possibility to use needle puncture with a curved linear array echoendoscope as a vascular intervention. In this review, we describe the endoscopic ultrasound approach to vascular therapy outside of the gastrointestinal wall.
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INTRODUCTION
Since its development, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) has evolved from a simple diagnostic technique to an important therapeutic tool for interventional endoscopy. EUS analysis provides real-time imaging of most major thoracic and abdominal vessels. With the potential to use needle puncture with a curved linear array echoendoscope as a vascular intervention. Thus, EUS may offer an attractive approach to vascular therapy outside the gastrointestinal wall (1). Selective angiographic embolization in recurrent gastrointestinal bleeding has been a well-established therapy performed by interventional radiologists (2,3).

The EUS approach may have advantages in terms of optimally targeting vessels, as compared to more conventional vascular approaches via the femoral, jugular, or subclavian arteries. If used to its full potential, EUS could be the optimal combination of interventional endoscopy and minimally invasive procedures.

Gastrointestinal bleeding represents one of the biggest challenges in therapeutic endoscopy. In approximately 10% to 15% of cases, conventional endoscopic therapy is insufficient and leads to early recurrence of bleeding (4). In these cases, EUS-guided sclerotherapy and embolization represent possible new therapeutic approach.

Some studies have reported EUS hemostasis from nonvariceal to esophageal or gastric variceal gastrointestinal bleeding. The aim of this chapter is to synthesize the current literature in EUS hemostasis such that the reader appreciates the importance and implications of new modalities in therapeutic endoscopy. The following three major areas of EUS hemostasis will be reviewed:

1. Eus hemostasis of nonvariceal gastrointestinal bleeding
Several endoscopic therapies are currently in use for gastrointestinal bleeding including adrenaline injection, coagulant current probes, and mechanical approaches using clips or band ligation (5-12). However, unsuccessful bleeding hemostasis and recurrent bleeding can occur in...
up to 20% of patients after initial therapy, which may require repeated endoscopies, interventional radiographic therapy, surgical vessel ligation, or even surgical resection of the affected gastrointestinal segment. EUS-guided therapy may have advantages over standard therapies, because it is highly sensitive for the detection of vascular structures in the gastrointestinal tract wall, particularly in the absence of an endoscopically visible lesion.

EUS hemostasis of nonvariceal upper GI bleeding has been described for peptic ulcer disease, bleeding tumors, and Dieulafoy’s lesions. In an animal study, Elmunzer et al. created an artificial gastric arterial bleeding model (submucosal surgical placement of gastroepiploic vascular bundle). After echoendoscopic visualization of the submucosal artery, EUS-guided dilute epinephrine injection or contact thermal coagulation therapy were delivered directly to the vessel. The procedure was successful in both cases treated with epinephrine injection or in two out of four treated with contact thermal coagulation.

Levy et al. first reported the use of EUS therapy in the management of refractory bleeding. EUS-guided angiotherapy was performed for the management of severe rebleeding after conventional endoscopic or radiologic interventions, and in one patient with chronic anemia in the absence of overt bleeding. Out of five patients, four presented with severe bleeding. They received an average of 18 units of packed red blood cells, and had failed other conventional approaches to control the bleeding. EUS-guided angiotherapy with 99% alcohol or cyanoacrylate injection was performed and bleeding was controlled in all of the cases without any complications.

Other case reports and small case studies have been reported in literature. Gonzalez et al. described an EUS-guided injection of cyanoacrylate in a side branch of the gastroduodenal artery, and a successful 19-gauge injection therapy of two Dieulafoy lesions with no recurrence of bleeding in the follow-up period. In a small study, Fockens et al. reported EUS-hemostasis of three patients with Dieulafoy lesions using a sector scanner EUS with the aid of an endoscopic picture. EUS-guided injection of epinephrine/polidocanol was performed, and all of the procedures were successful without complications, with a rebleeding episode in only one patient. In a case report with the use of Doppler EUS, Ribeiro et al. detected a residual artery five months after local therapy of a Dieulafoy’s lesion with rubber band ligation. Subsequently, thermal contact therapy followed by EUS-guided injection of absolute alcohol successfully stopped the artery blood flow based on the Doppler EUS analysis.

In review of the limited literature in the subject, EUS hemostasis of nonvariceal bleeding appears to be safe and effective in selected patients with lesions potentially refractory to standard endoscopic or angiographic techniques.

2. EUS hemostasis of variceal bleeding

2.1 Esophageal variceal bleeding

Endoscopic band ligation is currently the standard therapy for primary or secondary eradication of esophageal varices, whereas the injection of sclerosants has been used for rescue therapy. Although eradication is achieved in most of the patients, variceal recurrence is found in 15% to 65% (24,25). This may correlate with failure to treat perforating and collateral vessels that feed the esophageal varices. Indeed, Krieger et al. found a correlation between the presence of collateral vessels and the number of endoscopic sclerotherapy sessions required to achieve eradication of esophageal varices. Following the same principle, EUS has become a valuable tool for the diagnosis, treatment planning, evaluation of treatment success, and estimation of recurrent bleeding potential of esophageal varices.

The first report of EUS hemostasis of esophageal varices with sclerotherapy was made by Lahoti et al., who described a small case series of 5 patients with the use of sclerosant agent directed at the perforating vessel until flow was completely impeded. To achieve varix obliteration, 2.2 sessions per patient were needed, and no recurrent bleeding was reported after a mean 15 months follow-up. In a randomized controlled trial, Andrade de Paulo et al. compared endoscopic sclerotherapy and EUS-guided sclerotherapy of esophageal collateral veins. They hypothesized that obliteration of collateral veins would reduce the risk of recurrent bleeding of esophageal varices. Between these two groups, there was no difference in the number of sessions required to achieve obliteration or in rebleeding rates; however, collateral veins were undetected in any patient of the EUS-guided therapy group. Accordingly, the presence of collateral vessels at the end of the intervention was associated with variceal bleeding.

EUS hemostasis of esophageal varices, especially when directed to collateral vessels, seems to be feasible and effective; however, further studies are needed to determine if this therapy will be accepted as a standard of care in this cohort of patients.

2.2 Gastric variceal bleeding

Gastric varices are a common complication of portal hypertension, which may be present in up to 20% of these patients. Although gastric variceal bleeding is less common than esophageal, it usually is more severe and results in higher mortality. Standard therapies for esophageal variceal bleeding, such as band ligation or...
sclerotherapy, are not recommended for gastric variceal bleeding due to high rebleeding rates [31,32]. After its first description by Soehendra et al. [33], the direct endoscopic injection of cyanoacrylate is widely considered to be the therapy of choice for the management of gastric variceal bleeding [34]. Nevertheless, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt remains the first-line therapy in many centers, mainly because cyanoacrylate is an off-label use and due to the risk of serious adverse events from its embolization [35].

It is well described that embolization is the major complication of cyanoacrylate therapy for gastric variceal bleeding, including reports of cerebral embolism [36]. Regarding this complication, alternative therapies have been studied, including EUS hemostasis with fine needle injection of cyanoacrylate, coils, or the association of both [37,38].

EUS hemostasis of gastric varices with cyanoacrylate injection has been reported and has the advantage of EUS visualization of the injection into the variceal lumen. EUS visualization of the perforating feeding vein enables this vessel obliteration and Doppler analysis afterwards. This EUS-guided approach allows selective injection into the perforating veins. At this location, it can be obstructed with a small amount of cyanoacrylate, which theoretically may result in less adverse events of embolization [39]. In a comparative study, Lee et al. reported that EUS monitoring of gastric varix obliteration after cyanoacrylate injection resulted in less recurrent bleeding than with the standard endoscopic injection [40]. Romero-Castro et al. described a small case series of 5 patients, in which EUS-guided injection of cyanoacrylate was performed into the perforating veins. They injected a cyanoacrylate-lipiodol mixture in gastric varices with 22-gauge needles under EUS-guidance. All of the procedures were successful in eradicating the gastric varices, without recurrent bleeding or other complications during the study follow-up. However, they report that the most difficult and time-consuming part of injecting the perforating vein of gastric varices is to precisely identify the vein. Due to the fact that the perforating vein can be an afferent or efferent vessel, they had to inject contrast to determine the directional flow of the varix, which is time and labor intensive [41].

In order to avoid cyanoacrylate embolization, some advanced endoscopists advocate the use of stainless steel coils that can be introduced into gastric varices under EUS guidance [42,43], a known hemostatic therapy with interventional radiology [44-46]. In a small case series, Levy et al. reported a successful EUS-guided 22-gauge needle delivery of microcoils in three patients that presented with acute bleeding from ectopic coledochojejunal anastomotic varices [42]. Romero-Castro et al. reported a small case series of patients with severe gastric varices treated with EUS-guided coil embolization. They inserted coils into the perforating veins in order to block the blood flow. The varices were eradicated in three out of four patients, and no complications occurred in the successfully treated patients during five months of follow-up [43].

In a multicenter study, Romero-Castro et al. compared EUS-guided coil and cyanoacrylate therapy for the management of gastric varices. The study was conducted in tertiary referral centers, and thirty consecutive patients with localized gastric varices received either one of the therapies, with a follow-up of 6 months after the treatment. The gastric varix obliteration rate was 94.7% in the cyanoacrylate group versus 90.9% in the coil treated patients; adverse events occurred in 12 of 30 patients, in which 11 were in the cyanoacrylate group. However, only three were symptomatic, and an additional nine had glue embolism on a CT scan, but were asymptomatic. No further adverse events occurred during follow-up [39].

Another aspect of this therapy is the combined cyanoacrylate injection and coiling, in which the synthetic fibers may function as scaffold to retain cyanoacrylate within the varix and decrease the amount of injection needed to achieve obliteration [38]. Binmoeller et al. described a study that evaluated the feasibility, safety, and outcomes of transesophageal EUS-guided therapy of gastric fundal varices with combined coil and cyanoacrylate injection. There were thirty patients with hemorrhage from large gastric varices, and EUS-guided transesophageal treatment was successful in all of them. Among 24 patients with a mean follow-up of 193 days, gastric fundal varices were obliterated after a single treatment session in 23 (96%). Rebleeding occurred in four patients (16.6%), with none attributed to gastric varices. There were no procedure-related complications and no symptoms or signs of cyanoacrylate embolization [38].

In summary, there is evidence that EUS hemostasis of gastric varices is feasible and represents a potential therapy in patients with gastric variceal bleeding. However, further studies are needed to determine whether this novel approach has better outcomes over the standard endoscopic therapy with cyanoacrylate injection.

### 2.3 Rectal variceal bleeding

Rectal varices are usually caused by portal hypertension and may represent a potential bleeding source in cirrhotic patients. The most described endoscopic therapies for rectal variceal bleeding are band ligation and injection of sclerosant agents or cyanoacrylate [47-49]. Similar to gastric varix EUS hemostasis, the EUS-guided approach in rectal variceal bleeding could deliver the precise treatment into the vessel and confirm the obliteration with Doppler analysis.
Weilert et al. first reported a case of EUS-guided coil and cyanoacrylate for a bleeding rectal varix. It described a 60-year-old woman on the waiting-list for liver transplantation who presented with hematochezia. Once the bleeding source was identified as the rectal varix, the patient underwent rectal EUS, which revealed a large rectal varix with stigmata of recent hemorrhage. Four EUS-guided 19-gauge punctures were performed alongside the varix, with the deployment of both coils and 1 mL of cyanoacrylate. Varix obliteration was confirmed with Doppler analysis and there was no bleeding during or after the procedure. The patient had no recurrent bleeding in the 12-month follow-up period.

Although restricted to one case study, EUS hemostasis of rectal variceal bleeding proved to be feasible and effective. Further reports and studies are needed to determine whether this novel approach will have an impact on endoscopic therapy recommendation.

3. EUS hemostasis of pseudoaneurysms

Despite being a rare and generally serious complication of pancreatitis or abdominal surgery, visceral pseudoaneurysms, when ruptured, have high mortality rates. Surgery and interventional radiology are the current treatment modalities and also have considerable morbidity and mortality. Percutaneous endovascular procedures are the modalities of choice in these lesions. EUS analysis provides great real-time visualization and proximity to the gastrointestinal pseudoaneurysms, making EUS hemostasis an alternative therapy in selected patients.

Since its first description as an aneurysmal occlusion agent, the therapeutic potential of thrombin has been increasingly recognized. Percutaneous injection of thrombin has been reported as effective in the management of femoral pseudoaneurysms. Roach et al. first described EUS hemostasis with use of thrombin injection in a 32-year-old man with a superior mesenteric artery pseudoaneurysm with recurrent bleeding episodes. Percutaneous and US/CT-guided injection was not possible due to unsuccessful selective catheterization and anatomic positioning. EUS-guided injection of 500 IU of thrombin into the pseudoaneurysm was performed and with immediate obliteration observed by doppler. The patient was followed-up with for 42 weeks, and no additional treatment was required.

Other case reports of EUS hemostasis of splenic artery pseudoaneurysms have been described with EUS-guided injection of thrombin and thrombin-collagen compound. These two reports also demonstrate immediate occlusion of the pseudoaneurysms in imaging follow-ups.

At “Hospital das Clínicas”, we reported a case of EUS hemostasis of a pancreatic pseudoaneurysm of the splenic artery, using EUS-guided thrombin injection (Figure 1). The case consisted of a 29-year-old man with a history of multiple episodes of acute pancreatitis, heavy alcohol consumption, and heavy smoking for 15 years. He complained of abdominal pain that worsened after meals. EUS analysis of the pancreas identified a pseudoaneurysm at the level of the pancreatic body, which was represented by a well-defined, 4.5-cm maximal diameter echogenic lesion containing an anechoic area of 2 cm with flow detected by Doppler that communicated with the splenic artery by a 4.5-mm neck. An EUS-guided injection of 500 IU of thrombin was performed using a 22-gauge needle. Pseudoaneurysm obliteration occurred instantly, followed by a small local splenic infarction which was observed on a CT scan one week later. After a follow-up four months later, CT angiography and EUS confirmed the persistence of the occlusion. One important point to be considered is the presence of a small neck making the communication of the pseudoaneurysm with the artery. This increases the success of the treatment and decreases the risk of distant embolization.

![Figure 1. A: Three-dimensional computed tomography angiogram showing the pseudoaneurysm of the splenic artery; B: Color Doppler image of the pseudoaneurysm; C: EUS image showing the needle inside the pseudoaneurysm; D: Three-dimensional computed tomography angiogram taken 4 months later showing the splenic artery without the pseudoaneurysm sac. Image courtesy by D. M. Chaves.](image-url)

The presence of a big communication of the pseudoaneurysm with the artery may confer a high risk of complications and treatment failure. The employment of coil could be another interesting option for pseudoaneurysm with this shape.

EUS hemostasis of pseudoaneurysm with alcohol solution was described by Levy et al., in which a large pseudoaneurysm of the superior mesenteric artery was injected with 7 mL of 99% alcohol. This pseudoaneurysm had been previously treated with coiling and injection therapy during angiography with no success. After the
procedure, cessation of blood flow was confirmed by EUS Doppler analysis. No complications or rebleeding occurred during 16-month follow-up (37).

There are two reports of EUS-guided injection of cyanoacrylate for the treatment of pseudoaneurysms (39,60). In one life-threatening case, Gonzalez et al. performed EUS-guided injection of cyanoacrylate into the splenic artery. The patient had chronic pancreatitis and pseudocyst. However, during the EUS drainage attempt, an intracystic pseudoaneurysm of the splenic artery was injured. A massive intracystic hemorrhage occurred and the EUS-guided puncture and cyanoacrylate injection were performed immediately. The distal portion of the splenic artery was embolized and the bleeding was controlled (39). Roberts et al. also reported a successful EUS hemostasis of a visceral pseudoaneurysm by injecting a mixture of cyanoacrylate and lipiodol directly into the lesion (60).

Literature about EUS hemostasis of pseudoaneurysms is restricted to case reports. The publications demonstrate feasibility and technical EUS advantages of such therapies. These procedures have theoretical benefits over the traditional methods and should be further explored as alternative treatment options in selected cases that are refractory to angiographic percutaneous therapy.

Final considerations

Therapeutic EUS (T-EUS) can improve the efficacy of conventional endoscopy therapy. In this review, we analyze a new application of EUS: hemostasis for GI bleeding. Regarding to the rationale justification of the EUS, we can propose the follow topics:

- T-EUS allows for analysis of deep vascular anatomy in the intestinal wall and its correlations with minor and major vessels with closer proximity to the probe view;
- New accessories applied to T-EUS make the technique safer and more effective;
- Development of special glues and hemostatic agents (including mechanical agents) make T-EUS more technically feasible;
- Interventional EUS now has an added potential therapeutic option with various T-EUS hemostasis techniques;

Finally, EUS hemostasis is already inserted among the many options of the T-EUS techniques, with the advantage of being a minimally invasive process with an acceptable safety profile. Certainly, well designed, prospective studies are urgently needed to better elucidate the efficacy and safety of T-EUS for hemostasis in cases of GI bleeding.
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