Hepatotoxicity by herbs: a practical review of a neglected disease
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ABSTRACT

Herbs are commonly used worldwide for the treatment of various diseases, constituting a multi-billion dollar market. Unfortunately, hepatotoxicity induced by herbs is also common. The true incidence and prevalence are not known. There is need for more strict regulations and experimental and pre-clinical studies regarding its efficacy and safety. There is no gold standard for the diagnosis of herbs-induced liver injury (HILI) and it constitutes a diagnostic challenge for the clinician, where establishing causality could be cumbersome. Clinical presentation varies from asymptomatic cases with mildly abnormal liver tests to fulminant liver failure requiring liver transplantation. In this review, we will discuss the epidemiology, clinical manifestations, challenges and diagnostic approach of HILI and will also present some exemplary cases from the University of Miami, Division of Hepatology.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite their largely unproven beneficial effect through systematic and rigorous investigations, the use of products obtained from herbs and plants with therapeutic purposes has been exponentially increasing during the last decade, particularly in the Western World (1). Herbal therapy has been introduced to Western countries by pharmaceutical industries that commercialize these products as innocuous or natural supplements, in the form of tablets, capsules, syrups or infusions, and not as drugs, despite their presumed therapeutic action. This “label” or categorization allows a process of registry that is simpler and less expensive. Since these products derive from herbs, the cost of production is very low for the manufacture companies as well.

Different from regular prescribed medications, pre-clinical experimental studies in animals and subsequent clinical trials are not performed; therefore little is known regarding the efficacy and safety profile of these products before they hit the market.

Herbs have gained popularity as an alternative to modern medicine. Patients and even unfortunately many physicians consider these “natural” products safe and free of side effects. It has not been uncommon for us to encounter a patient in clinic who says, “Doctor, I did your thing, now let me do my thing…”

Another important reason that contributes to the rise of the consumption of these products is the relatively easy access to them. There is no need for a physician prescription, allowing self-medication. Also, they can be obtained in popular grocery stores in the US, several online websites and/or imported by family members or friends from countries such as India, China, Central and South America.

Numerous cases of hepatotoxicity secondary to herb use have been described in the literature. Some
of these products have been taken out of the market by recommendation of pharmacovigilance agencies. Despite this, the consumption of these products continues to increase. The world market for herbal medicines will hit $93.15 billion this year 2015. In the United States alone the total estimated herb retail sales rose from $4230 million in 2000 to $6032 million in 2013 according to data of the American Botanical Council (2).

In this review article, we will discuss the epidemiology, clinical manifestations, challenges and diagnostic approach of HILI and will also present some exemplary cases from the Division of Hepatology at the University of Miami.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The exact prevalence and incidence of herbal induced liver injury (HILI) are unknown. Different from conventional prescription medications, current regulations for herbal products do not mandate systematic surveillance or reporting of adverse events by the manufacturer to the Food and Drug Administration. Therefore, the epidemiological data regarding HILI is derived largely from case reports, retrospective databases and from the US DILI network.

In addition, many patients who are evaluated for abnormal liver test or suspicion for drug induced liver injury often do not report the ingestion of herbs leading to a wrong diagnosis. On the other hand, there have been many cases of over diagnosis as well. In these cases, other entities such as Hepatitis E infection just to give an example were found to be a common cause of the liver injury (3).

Current estimates suggest that 15% of Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) are caused by herbs (4) and a recent tabular compilation of published case reports, including traditional Chinese medicines, established causality for 28 out of 57 different herbs and herbal mixture selected in 77 publications (5). These numbers reflect the impact of herbal hepatotoxicity in clinical practice, and it should again be highlighted that this prevalence is likely to be underestimated.

CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS

The clinical presentation of HILI may vary from asymptomatic liver enzyme abnormalities indicating mild self-limiting liver injury, to severe acute liver failure causing hospital admission and potentially requiring liver transplantation.

In symptomatic subjects, the disease often begins with non-specific constitutional symptoms followed by jaundice. In 28 patients with DILI from herbs and dietary supplements in the US DILI network, 50% were female, mean age was 45 and the median time from exposure to liver injury recognition was 54 days. The most common pattern of liver injury was hepatocellular (63%), followed by cholestatic (17%) and mixed (21%). The severity was mild to moderate in 88% of the patients, and 12% had severe liver injury; 3.5% of patient underwent liver transplantation (6). Laboratory based criteria of HILI are best defined by alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and/or alkaline phosphatase (ALP) levels. Current cut-off recommendations for ALT are above 5 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) or 3 times the ULN if total bilirubin values exceed 2 times the ULN (7). For ALP, levels above 2 times the ULN are considered suggestive of HILI (7). Liver injury pattern is classified as hepatocellular if ALT >2 ULN alone or ALT/ALP >5; Cholestatic if ALP >2ULN or ALT/ALP =2; and mixed type if ALT >2 ULN, ALP is increased and ALT/ALP is >2 but <5 (8).

With cessation of herbal use, improvement of clinical symptoms and normalization of initially altered liver tests is typically seen in cases of HILI.

DIAGNOSIS

Patients with HILI represent a substantial diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic challenge to the clinician. Currently, there is no gold standard for the diagnosis of hepatotoxicity. Liver biopsy as a routine method to assess for HILI is not recommended, since histologic findings (most commonly hepatitis and liver cell necrosis) are non-specific (7). The diagnosis, therefore, depends greatly on the exclusion of other causes of liver injury. Among these other medical conditions that might go unrecognized, exclusion of infection by Hepatitis E, cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein Barr virus (EBV), herpes simplex virus (HSV), and varicella zoster virus (VZV) should be mandatory (9).

An early and high index of suspicion is of utmost importance. A detailed interrogation about the use of herbal products should always be a part of history taking in patients presenting with any form of acute liver injury or acute on chronic liver disease. It is always wise to ask the patient to bring everything they have in their medicine cabinet. Examining the label of the herbal product, which often contains a list of ingredients mixed in the preparation, might be useful as well. Discontinuation of herbal use is mandatory in time when HILI is first suspected as the diagnosis. The online website http://livertox.nih.gov/ from the US National Library of Medicine can be used to search for information regarding a specific herb or component suspicious to be the cause of liver injury (10). Also, an excellent tabular listing of herbs known to cause liver injury can be found in a recent review by Teschke (11)
### Table 1. Exemplary cases of HILI from the University of Miami, Division of Hepatology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparation name</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Age and gender</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Pattern of liver injury</th>
<th>Peak ALT (U/L) and/or AP (U/L)</th>
<th>Outcome after discontinuation of the herb product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kava Kava (Drink)</td>
<td>Kava Kava</td>
<td>Anxiety/Insomnia Relief</td>
<td>35/M</td>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>ALT 199 AP 226</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripped Fast</td>
<td>Ma-Huang</td>
<td>Muscle Building/ Fat Loss</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>Jaundice, abdominal pain</td>
<td>Cholestatic</td>
<td>ALT 94 AP 541</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrilite Slimmetry</td>
<td>Ilex Paraguariensis (Yerba Mate Extract)</td>
<td>Weight Loss</td>
<td>49/F</td>
<td>Jaundice, abdominal pain</td>
<td>Hepatocellular</td>
<td>ALT 1448</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle Ku Ding Tea</td>
<td>Needle Ku Ding Tea</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>72/M</td>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>ALT 1621 AP 172</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triflex Mobility</td>
<td>Chondroitin</td>
<td>Knee pain</td>
<td>70/F</td>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>ALT 1427 ALP 273</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test PCT ignition</td>
<td>L-arginine, D-aspartic acid, Tribulus Terrestris, Saw Palmetto Berry, Fenugreek, Soy seed, Tongkat Ali</td>
<td>Muscle enhancer</td>
<td>32/M</td>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>Hepatocellular</td>
<td>ALT 3105</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Flush</td>
<td>Multiple herbs</td>
<td>Lose weight</td>
<td>43/F</td>
<td>abdominal pain, fatigue</td>
<td>Hepatocellular</td>
<td>ALT 1488</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeel</td>
<td>Coenzyme A (coenzyme A)</td>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>45/F</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>ALT 196 ALP 258</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digest Gold Took triple dose x1 month</td>
<td>Amylase Thera Blend, Protease Thera Blend, Lipase Thera Blend, Cellulase Thera Blend, Invertase, Lactase, Mal-tase, Glucosaminase, Alpha Galactosidase, Phytase/Pectinase, Xylanase, Hemicellulase, Beta Glucanase, Lactobacillus acidophilus million</td>
<td>Indigestion</td>
<td>52/F</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>ALP 335 ALT 165</td>
<td>normalization of liver tests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The symptoms and patterns of liver injury are mixed with jaundice and other symptoms as indicated. ALT and AP values are given in their respective units (U/L). The outcomes after discontinuation of the herb products are marked where applicable.
and in the DILI chapter of the Schiff’s textbook of liver diseases (12).

Different herb products have been reported as causative for liver disease in the literature, with levels of causality proof that are rarely conclusive (13). Differentiating association from causation is definitely a challenge when facing individual cases of HILI. A positive unintentional re-exposure test result remains the best way to establish diagnosis and causation, although this is rarely available. Therefore, a well described dechallenge of liver values in suspected HILI is paramount to suspect causality for a particular herb in our daily clinical practice. Several scoring systems have been proposed for aiding in the causality assessment of DILI. The Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (RUCAM) by the Council for the International Organization of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) is the most widely used in the literature. This scale applies quantitative weighting to seven different factors: chronology, risk factors, concomitant drug use, exclusion of other causes, previous information on drug’s hepatotoxicity potential and response to rechallenge. The total score reflects the causality probability of DILI: definite, very likely, probable, possible, unlikely or excluded (7). Although widely used, the performance of this scale in causality assessment of herbal hepatotoxicity remains undefined.

EXEMPLARY CASES OF HILI FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI DIVISION OF HEPATOLOGY

In a recent review by Teschke, 44 herbs and 21 herbal mixtures related to traditional Chinese Medicine and 111 products unrelated to traditional Chinese Medicine have been identified as potential cause of liver injury (12).

In this section we will present epidemiological and clinical characteristics of some exemplary HILI cases seen in our hospital and clinics at the University of Miami (Table 1).

CONCLUSIONS

Herbs-induced liver injury (HILI) is becoming more common and it constitutes a diagnostic challenge for the clinician, where establishing causality is cumbersome. More strict regulations and experimental and pre-clinical studies regarding the efficacy and safety of herb products are needed.
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