Endoscopic removal of a toothbrush in a young adult with psychiatric disorder
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ABSTRACT
Ingestion of foreign bodies is a relative common situation in the emergency department; however, ingestion of toothbrush is rarely reported in the literature. We present the case of a 27-year-old man with a previous diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive disorder, who presented to the emergency department 17 hours after an ingestion of a toothbrush. We performed an endoscopic removal using a polypectomy snare in the Gastroenterology Department under moderate sedation. No complications were reported in the procedure and the patient was discharged few hours later. Ingestion of toothbrush is rare in the literature and some authors described techniques using overtube and retractable snares and forceps. Endoscopic removal of a toothbrush under moderate sedation can be a safe and successful procedure. However, if endoscopic removal fails, surgery should be performed.
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INTRODUCTION
Foreign bodies in gastrointestinal tract are usually associated to the pediatric population; however, predisposing conditions in some patients can result in occurrence of this medical emergency in adults (1). Among ingested foreign bodies, the toothbrush is one of the most bizarre due to its length and manipulation of it in order to be ingested. We present the case of a 27-year-old man who ingested an 18-centimeter-long toothbrush and presented to the emergency department 17 hours later.
At clinical evaluation, the patient was in a general well-being condition and asymptomatic. No lesions were found in oral cavity and no cutaneous emphysema was identified. Plain neck, chest and abdominal x-ray did not evidence any foreign bodies and were normal as shown in Figure 1. Informed written consent for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy explaining the possible complications was obtained from the patient.

Esophagogastroduodenoscopy was performed in the Gastroenterology Department under moderate sedation with Midazolam, Pethidine and Propofol. The toothbrush handle was found in the distal part of the esophagus and its head (brush) into the gastric cavity toward the greater curvature (Figure 2 and 3). A polypectomy snare was used to hook the handle part of the toothbrush located in the esophagus and pull though the digestive track until it reached the mouth where it was removed by hand. The procedure lasted 8 minutes. Relook endoscopy was performed and evidenced mild erythema in the gastric corpus.

The toothbrush length was 18 centimeters (Figure 4). After the procedure the patient presented no complications and was discharged home along with psychiatric assistance.

**DISCUSSION**

The presence of a foreign body in the gastrointestinal track is a medical emergency and has to be resolved as soon as possible. Ingestion can be unintentional, but many comorbidities as psychiatric diseases, alcohol, drug addiction or advanced age can be associated [2,3].

Timing in which the patient should be intervened for removing the foreign body depends on the object ingested and risk of aspiration. Prolonged time in the gastrointestinal track may lead to mucosal erosions, necrosis and perforation and is recommendable not to exceed 24 hours before removal. In case of our patient, the ingestion of the toothbrush did not allow him to eat and removal was urgent as long objects cannot usually pass the duodenum [4].

Radiographic images can help to identify the object ingested or to evaluate the presence of complications such as pneumomediastinum of emphysema [5]. Up to 34.8% of foreign bodies as food bolus or fish bones cannot be found in radiographic images or endoscopic procedures as spontaneous passage can occur [3]. Some studies report that toothbrushes can be identified in plain radiographic images; however, in the case of our
patient we were not able to identify any object before the endoscopic procedure (6,7).

Ingestion of toothbrush is rare in the literature and few techniques have been described to remove it. Some authors, removed the toothbrush with overtube facilitated endoscopy, retractable snares and forceps (2,7,8). The position in which it should be withdrawn depends on the location. If the toothbrush is found in the pylorus, removal from the brush is more feasible (9). We decided to remove it from the handle as it was located proximally in the distal esophagus. We were not able to use the overtube due to the position and the size of the toothbrush. However, no complications during the procedure were reported.

A high risk of bleeding or perforation exists (10). Sewpaul et al, reported a swallowed toothbrush that passed the pylorus but presented later general abdominal tenderness and perforated the ileum (11). If endoscopic therapy fails, surgery should be attempted as there are no possibilities of spontaneous passage due to its length and shape (10). Rasheed et al reported an ingestion of toothbrush managed surgically after a failed endoscopic attempt (13).

In conclusion, endoscopic removal of a toothbrush under moderate sedation in the Gastroenterology Department can be a safe and successful procedure. However, if endoscopic removal fails, surgery should be performed.
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