

Mummucina huaripampae sp. nov. (Solifugae: Mummuciidae), a new solifuge species from the Peruvian Central Andes

Mummucina huaripampae sp. nov. (Solifugae: Mummuciidae), una nueva especie de solífugo de los Andes centrales del Perú

E. Daniel Cossios *

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4188-7632>
dcossios@yahoo.com

*Corresponding author

Biosfera Consultores Ambientales, Calle Las Fresas 730
Miraflores, Lima, Perú.

Citación

Cossios ED. 2023. *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov. (Solifugae: Mummuciidae), a new solifuge species from the Peruvian Central Andes. Revista peruana de biología 30(1): e20364 001- 008 (Marzo 2023). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15381/rpb.v30i1.20364>

Presentado: 21/11/2022

Aceptado: 31/12/2022

Publicado online: 15/03/2023

Editor: Diana Silva

Abstract

A new species of Mummuciidae, *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov., from Huaripampa, between 3352 and 3568 m a.s.l. in the department of Junín, central Peru, is described and illustrated. This is the first *Mummucina* species registered for Junín, and the fourth for Peru. With this description, the number of known *Mummucina* species rises to seven.

Resumen

Una nueva especie de Mummuciidae, *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov. colectada en Huaripampa, entre 3352 y 3568 m de altitud en el departamento de Junín, en el Perú central, es descrita e ilustrada. Esta es la primera especie de *Mummucina* registrada para Junín y la cuarta para Perú. Con esta descripción, el número de especies conocidas de *Mummucina* asciende a siete.

Keywords:

Andes; arachnid; Junín; sun spider; taxonomy.

Palabras clave:

Andes; arácnido; Junín; solífugo; taxonomía.

Publicación registrada en Zoobank/ZooBank article registered:

LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:8702D1EA-2F0E-463B-BC56-F147A52E582B

Acto nomenclatural/nomenclatural act:

Mummucina huaripampae Cossios, 2023

LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D0EA03C1-6B3B-4549-9990-85B872090B20

Introduction

Mummuciidae Roewer, 1934 is an endemic family from South America (Punzo 1998) and includes species with diurnal habits, unlike the New World solifuges, which are generally nocturnal (Rocha 2002). This family currently has ten valid genera: *Mummucia* Simon, 1879; *Gaucha* Melo-Leitao, 1924; *Metacleobis* Roewer, 1934; *Mummucina* Roewer, 1934; *Mummucipes* Roewer, 1934; *Gauchella* Mello—Leitão, 1937; *Cordobulgida* Mello--Leitão, 1938; *Uspallata* Mello-Leitao, 1938; *Vempironiella* Botero-Trujillo, 2016 and *Curunahuel* Botero-Trujillo, 2019 (Retrieved [2023-03-07], from the *World Arachnida Catalog*, <https://wac.nmbe.ch/order/solifugae/genera/48>).

The genus *Mummucina* is known from western South America only. The type species of this genus, *Mummucina titschacki* Roewer, 1934 was described based on a single individual –a female from the Ecuadorian locality of Riobamba – and was recently redescribed after reviewing 72 additional individuals from the type locality, including

females, males, and a greater number of morphological characters (Botero-Trujillo 2014). Currently, *Mummucina* includes six species: *M. exlineae* Mello-Leitão, 1943, *M. masculina* Lawrence, 1954 and *M. chaskae* Cossios, 2020 from Peru; *M. colinalis* Kraus, 1966 from Chile; *M. titschacki* from Ecuador, and *M. puna* González & Corronca, 2013 from Argentina (Cossios 2020). It should be noticed that *M. exlineae* is considered *insertae sedis* (González & Corronca 2013) because its description does not correspond to the diagnosis established for the genus by Roewer (1934), there is no illustration of the species and the holotype is lost (Kury and Nogueira 1999).


In the present paper, *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov. is described from semi-arid environments of Junín, in the central Peruvian Andes, based on both male and female specimens.

Material and methods

The specimens revised in this work were collected with pitfall traps in the district of Huaripampa, in the Peruvian department of Junín (Fig. 1), in January 2020. Specimens have been stored in 75% ethanol and deposit-

ed in the Natural History Museum of San Marcos University (Lima, Peru; MUSM, curator: Diana Silva).

The terminology used to describe the species followed Mello-Leitão (1938) for the prosoma structure, Bird et al. (2015) for cheliceral structures, and Bird & Wharton (2015) and Shultz (1989) for leg and pedipalp segmentation. Cheliceral tooth formula and primary homology assessment of individual teeth followed Bird et al. (2015). Iuri et al. (2014) were followed to describe the pattern of spiniform setae on legs, using a dash (-) to represent incomplete segmentation and a slash (/) for complete segmentation. Following Botero-Trujillo (2014), the so-called "spines" of the legs are here called "spiniform setae", since they are articulated. To describe the chelicerae, the right chelicera was separated from each individual observed, including the holotype. Color was determined according to the Munsell color chart. Images were taken with a camera attached to a Nikon SMZ745T and the stacked images were processed with Zerene Stacker versión 1.04 (Zerene Systems LLC). Illustrations were made using a camera lucida. Measurements were obtained following the methodology of Botero-Trujillo et al. (2017) and are expressed in millimeters.



Figures 1 – 4. Distribution, habitat and habitus of *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov. (1): Map of the species' known distribution. (2) and (3): Two views of Monte Calvario, situated in the district of Huaripampa. (4): Habitus of an adult female. Photographs: Diego Vargas Villarruel.

Taxonomy

MUMMUCIIDÆ ROEWER, 1934

GENUS *MUMMUCINA* ROEWER, 1934Type species. *Mummucina titschacki* Roewer, 1934*Mummucina huaripampæ* sp. nov.

(Figures 4 – 15; Table 1)


Type material. Male holotype (MUSM-ENT-0514461), town of Huaripampa, Peru, Junín department, Jauja province, Huaripampa district (11°48.5'S, 75°28.24'W), Jan 14, 2020, E. Daniel Cossios. Four female and three male paratypes (MUSM-ENT-0514462), Monte Calvario Hill, Huaripampa district (11°49.23'S, 75°28.83'W) Jan 14, 2020, E. Daniel Cossios.

Diagnosis. *Mummucina huaripampæ* sp. nov. differs from the other species of the genus by the following combination of traits: i) fixed finger dental pattern: FD-(1FSD)-FM-(1FSM)-FP-(4RF)(3PF), with well-developed FD, FSD and FM teeth, ii) male with oval flagellum, with narrow and elongated distal extreme, iii) Movable finger mucron with a moderately developed gnathal edge carina, less pronounced on the female, iv) ground color dark brown, with lateral brownish-yellow bands on the mesopeltidium, metapeltidium and opisthosoma. *Mummucina masculina* and *M. puna* have a different flagellum morphology, not exceeding the upper border of the chelicerae in the former (Lawrence 1954) and with a highly convex upper edge in the last (González & Corronca 2013). The male of *M. colinalis* has reduced FD and FSD teeth (Muma

1971, González & Corronca 2013). *Mummucina chaskaë* has yellowish ground color, a less developed gnathal edge carina, and two FSM teeth, the distal one very reduced in size (Cossios 2020). *Mummucina titschacki* has grayish ground color, white dots on the black band of the pleural membrane (instead black dots on the white band), and its gnathal edge carina is not convex (Botero-Trujillo 2014). *Mummucina. exlineae* is dark brown with white bands and does not have a FSD tooth (Mello-Leitão 1943), reason why González & Corronca (2013) consider it *insertae sedis*.

Description of the male holotype

Color in life: Propeltidium with dark brown (10YR 3/2) borders and a large diamond-shaped, very dark brown spot with brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) edges. Ocular tubercle very dark brown; eyes black. Chelicerae with manus dark brown with two brownish-yellow dorsal bands; fixed and movable fingers brownish-yellow; mucra and teeth reddish (from 5YR 6/8 to 5YR 3/4). Peltidium brownish-yellow; parapeltidium white. Mesopeltidium, metapeltidium and abdominal tergites crossed by a median dark brown band and lateral brownish-yellow bands. Pleural membranes with a creamy white longitudinal stripe contiguous to the tergites, inconspicuous along the opisthosoma but more notorious next to the meso and metapeltidium, followed by a sub-dorsal black or very dark brown stripe and a sub-ventral creamy white stripe; posterior half of the sub-ventral stripe with dark marks surrounding the socket of some setae. Pedipalps and legs dark brown. Ventrally, sternites whitish (2.5Y 8.5/1). Malleoli brownish-yellow, with reddish border.



Figures 5 – 9. *Mummucina huaripampæ* sp. nov. dorsal aspect, prosoma and post-spiracular sternites II- VI. (5), (8): Male holotype (MUSM-ENT-0514461). (6), (7), (9): Female paratype (MUSM-ENT-0514462). Scale bars: 2 mm long.

Color in ethanol preserved specimen: (Figs. 5 – 6, 10 – 11). The same as in the live animal, except for the mucron, which turned brown.

Prosoma: (Figs. 5 – 6). Propeltidium 1.2 times wider than long (Fig. 6), with anterior margin convex on dorsal view and abundant short, medium-sized and long bifurcated setae, of which at least the latter are symmetrically arranged. Ocular tubercle elevated, with abundant macrosetae; distance between eyes about one time the eye diameter. Complete median longitudinal furrow present. Lateral lobes separated from the propeltidium principal shield by an incomplete lateral groove. Peltidium with bifurcated setae of variable size; parapeltidium smooth. Meso- and metapeltidium wider than long, with scattered bifurcated setae. Coxae covered with abundant bifurcated setae, some single tipped setae on each coxa. Sternum glabrous.

Chelicera-dentition and processes: (Figs. 10 – 11, 14 – 15). Fixed finger with dental pattern FD-(1FSD)-FM-(1FSM)-FP-(4RF)(3PF), with teeth of the median series arranged in increasing size: FSM, FSD, FD = FP, FM. Mucron without subterminal teeth (FST); apex (FT tooth) gently curved. Retrofondal teeth series uninterrupted (without diastemas), with four teeth arranged in increasing size: RFSP, RFM=RFP, RFA. Profondal teeth series with three teeth (PFM, PFP, PFSP) of similar size; diastema between PFM and PFP; PFP and PFSP very close to each other. Movable finger with three teeth situated in medial position on the finger: two primary teeth (MM

and MP) and a MSM secondary tooth, and arranged in increasing size: MSM, MM, MP; without subproximal (MSP) or subterminal (MST) teeth. Fixed finger with prodorsal carina complete (along the entire length of the asetose area), starting near the level of the attachment point of the flagellum, and without angular dorsal crest. Movable finger mucron with gnathal edge carina pronounced and convex, and a retrolateral longitudinal carina consisting of one row of granules.

Chelicera-setose areas and stridulatory plate:

(Figs. 10, 15). Retrolateral and dorsal surfaces with abundant bifurcated retrolateral manus (rlm) and retrolateral finger (rlf) setae variable in size; and some non-bifid setae bilaterally symmetrical. Movable finger with retrolateral proximal setal cluster (rlpc). Prolateral surface with two rows of proventral distal (pvd) plumose setae covering the fixed finger teeth and disposed along the border of the fixed finger teeth line, the ventral row extending from the FSM tooth to the fondal interdigital articular membrane (fiam), whereas the dorsal row extends from the FP tooth to the midline of the chelicera; a row of thick and short setae (pvsd comb) in front of the stridulatory apparatus; area between the stridulatory apparatus and the pvsd comb covered by short bristles (pm). Stridulatory apparatus with seven parallel ridges. Distal limit of the prolateral setose area of movable finger reaching the middle of the MP tooth; prodorsal setal series (mpd) consisting of a row of plumose setae; pro-medial (mpm) and proventral (mpv) series formed by single-tipped setae.



Figures 10 – 13. Chelicerae of *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov. (10), (11): Right chelicera of a male paratype (MUSM-ENT-0514462), prolateral and retrolateral aspects. (12), (13): Right chelicera of a female paratype (MUSM-ENT-0514462), prolateral and retrolateral aspects. Scale bars: 1 mm long.

Flagellum: (Figs. 10, 15). Translucent and immobile drop-shaped membrane, laterally compressed, with an elongated apex. Dorsal border convex, ventral border slightly concave, running parallel to the ventral edge of the fixed finger. Flagellum attachment at its proximal extreme, approximately at level of PFM tooth. Apex fringed, reaching about midway between FD tooth and the apex of the mucron.

Pedipalps: Without spiniform setae but densely covered with setae of different types and length, including blunt, bifurcated and clubbed setae. Very long setae on ventral aspect of the femur, basitarsus and, specially, tibia, some of them longer than the tibia. Telotarsus with a dorsodistal pore area.

Leg I: Thin, without claws or spiniform setae. Similar to pedipalp in the types of setae and their density.

Walking legs: Covered with several bifurcated and blunt setae of variable size. Legs II and III: tibia with 1.1.2 ventrolateral spiniform setae; basitarsus with six spiniform setae in a 1.2.3 pattern: three prolateral (1 medial, 1 subdistal and 1 distal), one retroventral (distal), one retrolateral (subdistal) and one retrodorsal (distal); telotarsus bi-segmented, and with 1.2.2/4 ventrolateral spiniform setae. Leg IV: tibia with 1.1.1.2 ventrolateral spiniform setae; basitarsus with 1.1.2 ventral spiniform setae; telotarsus bi-segmented with pseudosegmentation on the basal segment, and 2.2.2-2/4 ventral spiniform setae. In all cases, unpaired setae are arranged on prolateral aspect. In all the walking leg telotarsi, the four distal spiniform setae with the outer pair longer than the inner.


Opisthosoma: (Figs. 5, 9) Tergites and sternites setose, with bifurcated setae of medium size, some being longer. Ctenidia disposed in rows, on the posterior half of the 3rd and 4th post-genital sternites (postspiracular sternites I and II). Ctenidia of the 3rd post-genital sternite moderately thick basally. At least one filiform ctenidia at each side of the 2nd and 5th post genital sternites, near to the external borders of the sternite. Row of rigid hairs along posterior margin of the 4th post-genital sternite.

Female paratype (MUSM-ENT-0514462). (Figs. 4, 7 – 8, 12 – 13) Same color as the male. Other morphological characters as in male, except the following: a) Propeltidium and chelicerae most robust; propeltidium 1.5 times wider than long (Fig. 8), b), chelicerae without flagellum (Figs. 12 – 13), c) Fixed finger with a slightly more pronounced curvature at about the level of FSD-FD teeth, d) gnathal edge carina less developed, e) ctenidia on the 2nd post genital sternite shorter and less noticeable.

Variability. Measurement variability is shown in Table 1. Number of ridges on the stridulatory apparatus ranging from seven to eight in males (two males with seven and two with eight) and seven in all females (n=4). No significant variability in other characters was observed.

Etymology. The specific epithet is a toponym for the type locality, Huaripampa, type locality of the species herein described, located in the Peruvian department of Junín.

Natural habitat and distribution (Figs. 1 – 3). Species known only from the Peruvian district of Huaripampa, Junín. Specimens were recorded in the town of Huaripampa (3356 m a.s.l.) and in the Monte Calvario Hill (3575 m a.s.l.). The former corresponds to an urban area; the last corresponds to grasslands with scattered bushes and rocky areas, associated with croplands. The climate of the area is rainy between October and March and dry between April and September, with an annual cumulative rainfall of 757.8 mm, an average minimum temperature of 5 °C in July, and an average maximum temperature of 19.4 °C between October and December. This zone is in the Central Andean wet Puna Ecoregion (Olson et al. 2001) and in the Ancash-La Paz phytogeographic province (Galán de Mera & Linares 2008). No other solifuge species has been recorded living in sympatry with *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov.



Figures 14 – 15. Diagrams of the right chelicera of a male paratype (MUSM-ENT-0514462). (14): Retrolateral aspect. (15): Prolateral aspect. Longer setae were removed to clearly see the teeth. FI: flagellum, FPDC: fixed finger prodorsal carina, FD: fixed finger distal tooth, FM: fixed finger medial tooth, FP: fixed finger proximal tooth, RF: retrofendal teeth, Fg: flagellar groove, GEC: gnathal edge carina, MM: movable finger medial tooth, MSM: movable finger sub-medial tooth, MP: movable finger proximal tooth, PF: profundal teeth, pvd: proventral distal setae, pvsd: proventral sub-distal setae, pm: promedial setae, Str: stridulatory ridges, Stp: stridulatory plate.

Table 1. Measurements (in mm) of *Mummucina huaripampae* sp. nov.

Measure	Males		Females
	Holotype	Mean and range (n=4)	Mean and range (n=4)
Total length (w/o chelicerae)	16.5	13.9 (12.1 - 16.5)	15.2 (10.4 - 20.4)
Chelicera length	3.7	3.7 (3.4 - 3.9)	4.7 (3.3 - 6.8)
Chelicera width	1.7	1.8 (1.7 - 2.0)	2.0 (1.6 - 2.6)
Propeltidium length	3.5	3.1 (2.9 - 3.5)	3.3 (2.3 - 4.3)
Propeltidium width	3.5	3.7 (3.5 - 3.9)	4.4 (2.7 - 6.2)
Opisthosoma length	10.2	8.8 (7.2 - 10.2)	9.7 (7.5 - 13.0)
Opisthosoma width	3.9	4.1 (3.0 - 5.1)	5.1 (3.3 - 8.7)
Pedipalp femur length	3.0	3.1 (2.8 - 3.6)	3.3 (2.6 - 4.3)
Pedipalp tibia length	3.5	3.3 (3.0 - 3.6)	3.4 (2.4 - 4.7)
Pedipalp tarsus length	4.8	3.9 (3.4 - 4.8)	3.6 (2.6 - 4.5)
Leg I femur length	2.6	2.3 (2.0 - 2.6)	2.5 (1.6 - 2.9)
Leg I tibia length	2.8	2.6 (2.2 - 2.8)	3.0 (2.0 - 3.8)
Leg I metatarsus length	1.8	1.9 (1.6 - 2.1)	2.1 (1.2 - 3.0)
Leg I tarsus length (w/o claws)	1.3	1.7 (1.3 - 2.2)	1.6 (0.8 - 1.9)
Leg IV femur length	5.2	4.6 (3.8 - 5.2)	4.7 (2.4 - 5.8)
Leg IV tibia length	4.8	4.3 (4.0 - 4.8)	4.3 (3.5 - 5.3)
Leg IV metatarsus length	4.0	3.3 (2.6 - 4.1)	3.1 (1.6 - 4.0)
Leg IV tarsus length (w/o claws)	2.7	2.5 (2.2 - 2.7)	2.0 (1.4 - 2.3)

Comments. The number and position of ventral spiniform setae on the telotarsi of the walking legs was classically used as one of the main diagnostic characteristics of the Mummuciidae genera (Roewer 1934, Mello-Leitão 1938, Muma 1971). However, in the last three decades several authors have argued difficulties to discern between genera based on this feature, due to considerable intraspecific variability, the difficulty of observing telotarsal setae and the possibility of their rupture (Carvalho et al. 2010, Martins et al. 2004, Maury 1998, Rocha & Canello 2002, Rocha & Carvalho 2006). Despite the consistent arrangement of spiniform setae on telotarsi among the species, it is not a dependable method to differentiate between genera within Mummuciidae. Consequently, certain authors have opted for a conservative strategy by designating species to the type genus *Mummucia*. (Carvalho et al. 2010, Martins et al. 2004, Rocha & Carvalho 2006, Xavier & Rocha 2001).

Fortunately, recent observations of morphological traits in multiple species, including the type species of all genera of Mummuciidae (Bird et al. 2015, Botero-Trujillo 2014, Botero-Trujillo et al. 2017, Botero-Trujillo et al. 2019), allowed to make comparisons for a better diagnosis, especially with regard to chelicerae features and the ctenidia pattern present in the sternites.

The ctenidia pattern of *M. huaripampae* sp. nov. matches that of the type species of the genus *Mummucina*, *M. titschacki*, and differs from those of all other known genera of Mummuciidae. Following Botero-Trujillo et al. (2017) and Botero-Trujillo et al. (2019), the ctenidia pattern of the different genera of Mummuciidae can be differentiated as follows: i) Ctenidia on the 1st post-genital sternite were observed on the genera

Gaucha and *Mummucipes* only. ii) On the 2nd post-genital sternite, ctenidia are present on *Mummucina*, *Gaucha*, *Mummucipes* and *Cordobulgida*, and they are lacking on *Curunahuel*, *Uspallata*, *Vempironiella* and on *Mummucia variegata* Gervais, 1849 (type species of *Mummucia*). iii) All the Mummuciidae species have ctenidia on 3rd and 4th post-genital sternites. iv) In *Mummucina* males, ctenidia of the 3rd sternite are similar to those of the 4th sternite, moderately thickened basally, not filiform. v) In *Mummucia* and *Uspallata* ctenidia of the 3rd sternite are markedly thickened basally and distinctly more robust than those of the 4th sternite. vi) In *Cordobulgida*, *Mummucipes* and *Vempironiella*, ctenidia of 3rd and 4th sternites are filiform. vii) In *Gaucha* all sternites, from 2nd to 5th, have similar, filiform and setiform ctenidia. The presence of ctenidia on the 5th post-genital sternite has been found only in *M. huaripampae* sp. nov. and in the genus *Gaucha*, and it has been discarded in *Curunahuel*. For other genera, however, it has not been discarded.

The chelicerae morphology of *M. huaripampae* sp. nov. also coincides with that of *M. titschacki* in having a weak dorsal crest on the fixed finger. *Mummucia variegata* (type species of its genus) and *Uspallata* have a pronounced angular dorsal crest, while the other genera of the family have no crest (Botero-Trujillo et al. 2017, Botero-Trujillo et al. 2019).

Mummucina huaripampae sp. nov. is the fourth species of the genus described for Peru and the first for the department of Junín. With its description, the species number reached seven for the genus.

Literature cited

- Bird TL, Wharton RA, Prendini L. 2015. Cheliceral morphology in Solifugae (Arachnida): primary homology, terminology and character survey. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*. 394: 1-355. <http://hdl.handle.net/2246/6592>
- Bird TL, Wharton RA. 2015. Description of a new solifuge *Melanoblossia ansie* sp. n. (Solifugae, Melanoblossiidae) with notes on the setiform flagellar complex of Melanoblossiinae Roewer, 1934. *African Invertebrates*. 56(2): 515-525. <https://doi.org/10.5733/afin.056.0218>
- Botero-Trujillo R. 2014. Redescription of the sun-spider *Mummucina titschacki* Roewer, 1934 (Solifugae, Mummuciidae) with notes on the taxonomy of the genus. *Zootaxa*. 3884(4): 319-332. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3884.4.2>
- Botero-Trujillo R, Lagos-Silnik S, Fernández-Campón F. 2019. *Curunahuel aconagua*, a new genus and species of sun-spider (Solifugae: Mummuciidae) from the Cuyan High Andean biogeographic province of Argentina. *Journal of Arachnology*. 47:351-359.
- Botero-Trujillo R, Ott R, Carvalho LS. 2017. Systematic revision and phylogeny of the South American sun-spider genus *Gaucha* Mello-Leitao (Solifugae: Mummuciidae), with description of four new species and two new generic synonymies. *Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny*. 75(1): 3-44.
- Carvalho LS, Candiani DF, Bonaldo AB, Suesdek L, Silva PRR. 2010. A new species of the sun-spider genus *Mummucia* (Arachnida: Solifugae: Mummuciidae) from Piauí, northeastern Brazil. *Zootaxa*. 2690: 19-31. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2690.1.2>
- Cossios ED. 2020. Description of a new *Mummucina* species (Solifugae: Mummuciidae) from Peru. *Revista Peruana de Biología*. 27(3):283-288. <https://doi.org/10.15381/rpb.v27i3.18642>
- Galán de Mera A, Linares E. 2008. Datos sobre la vegetación de los humedales de América del Sur. De las sabanas bolivianas a los Llanos del Orinoco (Venezuela). *Acta Botánica Malacitana*. 33: 1-18.
- González AX, Corronca JA. 2013. A new Solifugae species of *Mummucina* Roewer, 1934 (Solifugae, Mummuciidae) from the Northwest of Argentina. *Zootaxa*. 3737(5): 538-544. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3737.5.2>
- Iuri HA, Iglesias MA, Ojanguren-Affilastro AA. 2014. A new species of *Chileotreacha* Maury, 1987 (Solifugae: Ammotrechidae) from Argentina with notes on the genus. *Zootaxa*. 3827(1): 20-30. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3827.1.2>
- Kury A, Nogueira A. 1999. Annotated check list of type specimens of Arachnida in the Museu Nacional Rio de Janeiro I. Scorpiones, Pseudoscorpiones and Solifugae. *Publicações Avulsas do Museu Nacional Rio de Janeiro*. 77: 1-19.
- Lawrence RF. 1954. Some solifugae in the collection of the British Museum (Natural History). *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*. 124: 111-124.
- Martins EG, Bonato V, Machado G, Pinto-da-Rocha R, Rocha LS. 2004. Description and ecology of a new species of sun spider (Arachnida: Solifugae) from the Brazilian Cerrado. *Journal of Natural History*. 38: 2361-2375. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222930310001647343>
- Maury EA. 1998. Solifugae. In: Morrone JJ, Coscarón S (eds), *Biodiversidad de Artrópodos Argentinos*. La Plata, Argentina: Ediciones SUR. Pp. 560-568.
- Mello-Leitão C. 1938. Solífugos de Argentina. *Anales del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia"*. 40: 1-32.
- Mello-Leitão C. 1943. Arácnidos recogidos en el Ecuador y el Perú por la señora H. E. Frizell Don. *Comunicaciones Zoológicas del Museo de Historia Natural de Montevideo*. 5(1): 1-8.
- Muma MH. 1971. The Solpugids (Arachnida: Solpugida) of Chile with descriptions of a new family, new genera, and new species. *American Museum Novitates*. 2476: 1-23.
- Olson DM, Dinerstein E, Wikramanayake ED, Burgess ND, Powell GVN, Underwood EC, D'Amico JA, Itoua I, Strand HE, Morrison JC, et al. 2001. Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on Earth: A new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an innovative tool for conserving biodiversity. *BioScience*. 51(11):933-938. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2001\)051\[0933:TEOTWA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0933:TEOTWA]2.0.CO;2)
- Punzo F. 1998. The biology of camel-spiders (Arachnida, Solifugae). Boston-Dordrecht-London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Rocha LS. 2002. Solifugae. In: Adis J (ed), *Amazonian Arachnida and Myriapoda*. Sofia and Moscow: Pensoft Publishers. Pp. 439-448.
- Rocha LS, Canello EM. 2002. Redescription of *metacleobis fulvipes* roewer from Brazil (Solifugae, Mummuciidae). *Journal of Arachnology* 30(1):104-109. [https://doi.org/10.1636/0161-8202\(2002\)030\[0104:ROMFRF\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1636/0161-8202(2002)030[0104:ROMFRF]2.0.CO;2)
- Rocha LS, Carvalho MC. 2006. Description and ecology of a new solifuge from Brazilian Amazonia (Arachnida, Solifugae, Mummuciidae). *Journal of Arachnology* 34(1):163-169. <https://doi.org/10.1636/H04-24.1>
- Roewer CF. 1934. Solifugae, Palpigradi. In: HG Bronn, ed. *Klassen und Ordnungen des Tierreichs*. 5: Arthropoda. IV: Arachnoidea. Leipzig: Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft M.B.H. Pp. 481-723.
- Shultz JW. 1989. Morphology of locomotor appendages in Arachnida: evolutionary trends and phylogenetic implications. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 97(1):1-56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1989.tb00552.x>
- Xavier E, Rocha LS. 2001. Autoecology and description of *Mummucia mauryi* (Solifugae, Mummuciidae), a new solifuge from Brazilian semi-arid Caatinga. *Journal of Arachnology* 29(2):127-134. [https://doi.org/10.1636/0161-8202\(2001\)029\[0127:AADOMM\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1636/0161-8202(2001)029[0127:AADOMM]2.0.CO;2)

Agradecimientos / Acknowledgments:

I would like to thank Diego Vargas Villarruel for assistance on the field and for lending his pictures of the environment and of the live specimen, Miguel Maldonado for his comments about the habitat and Arli Ayala for taking the images of the dorsal aspect, head and post-spiracular sternites II- IV. I am particularly grateful to Andrés Ojanguren-Affilastro, Ricardo Botero Trujillo, Leonardo Sousa Carvalho and one anonymous referee for their invaluable comments that improved earlier versions of this manuscript. Fieldwork was financially supported by Biosfera Consultores Ambientales.

Conflicto de intereses / Competing interests:

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Rol de los autores / Authors Roles:

ADC : Conceptualization, Research, Writing: review and edition.

Fuentes de financiamiento / Funding:

This work was funded by Biosfera Consultores Ambientales SAC.

Aspectos éticos / legales; Ethics / legal:

Author declare that they did not violate or omit ethical or legal norms in this research.